### SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

Affordable, Quality Benefits & Well-Being

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE:	April 12, 2018
TO:	Randy Scott, President, and Members of the Health Service Board
FROM:	Abbie Yant, RN, MA Executive Director SFHSS
RE:	March 2018 Board Report

#### Highlights: SFHSS on the Road

Mitchell Griggs and I attended The Conference Board – Annual Employee Health Care Conference where top employers and industry leaders convene to share case studies, best practices and practical next steps to drive the health care industry forward. While the conference was informative it was also an opportunity for us to share our successes in that Mitchell was a participant on a panel presentation regarding our Gender Dysphoria benefit.

#### Transition

As you know SFHSS is in the midst of determining 2019 rates and benefits which is a fabulous learning opportunely for me as I learn the core business of SFHSS. I continue to meet with key stakeholders. This month I have connected with leadership at the SFUSD and the Courts, Well Being Sponsors, Protect Our Benefits, Department Heads of DPW, the Retirement Board, DHR and the Controller's office. I attended a webinar through the International Foundation of Employee Benefits taught by Department of Labor staff on Getting It Right - Know Your Fiduciary Responsibilities: Webcast Series for Retirement and Health Plan Sponsors. Topics addressed included: Basic fiduciary responsibilities when operating an employer-sponsored group health plan, ERISA's reporting and disclosure provisions

The presentations you will hear today are the result of many hours of discussions to clearly articulate information that supports the Board in your decision making. Please let me know if we are achieving this goal.

#### **Strategic Plan**

In consultation with Aon, we are developing a strategic planning process that will commence in late April – Early May so that we will have a plan ready for implementation in the next fiscal year. The process will be in two parts – Strategy Development and Strategy Implementation. We are designing an inclusive process that will solicit involvement and input from Board, staff, members and other key stakeholders.

In addition to strategic planning being good business practice, I wish to note several industry trends that are driving change in the marketplace. 1) It has become exceedingly clear that the vendor landscape continues to evolve very rapidly with new entrants every day as well as blurring of lines between services/vendors. Many vendors start with a niche area and quickly realize all the various touch points a member experiences in the health delivery and are

expanding to adjacent areas. Strategic plan for vendors and point solutions from a member experience navigation and care coordination in health is critical (see attachment.)

2) In addition, the Walmart-Humana, CVS-Aetna, and Amazon/Berkshire Hathaway/JP Morgan Chase developments are potential major disruptors to healthcare providers.

#### **UHC/City Plan**

As you are aware the stabilization funds for the City Plan have greatly diminished. Therefore, we have dug in deeply to fully understand the drivers of the costs and develop recommendations to promote the long-term sustainability and viability of the City Plan for the active employee and early retiree populations. Beginning today you will hear Part 1 of a 3-part presentation culminating in your decision regarding recommendations for 2019 plan year and beyond.

Part I: April 12 HSB Meeting: Today's discussion will focus on early retirees in the City Plan.

**Part II:** May 10 HSB Meeting: City Plan discussion for the active employee population with 2019 recommendations

Part III: May 31 HSB Meeting: City Plan active and early retiree recommendation action

#### **Best Doctors**

Guided by the nature of the clinical discussions had with Best Doctors prior to the March HSB meeting, we worked with Best Doctors to prepare a report that addresses many of those questions. We continue to work to understand the costs incurred through this service as it compares to utilization. We believe there are alternate payment methodologies that would reduce the cost of this service and continue to meet the needs of our members.

#### Dependent Eligibility Verification Audit – update on timeline of audit

- 4/09/2018 Alert Notice: Sent to subscribers with unverified dependent(s) on the plan. Introduces the upcoming verification project and SFHSS relationship with the Dependent Verification Center.
- 4/20/2018 Verification Request Notice: Targeted to subscribers with unverified dependent(s) on the plan.

4/30/2018 & 5/15/2018 -Reminder notices.

- 6/01/2018 Final reminder notice.
- 6/16/2018 End of audit notice sent to subscribers who have not responded to verification. Will summarize the possible ramifications for dependents not verified within the next 30-day grace period.
- 7/15/2018 Grace Period end date/final audit close.
- 7/28/2018 Final Results Notice sent to subscribers with unverified dependents remaining on the plan.

#### Actuarial and Consulting Services Request for Proposals

The RFP was issued on Feb 9<sup>th</sup>. On February 21<sup>st</sup>, SFHSS held a pre-proposal conference. Ten individuals (including prospective Supervising Actuaries) from five actuarial firms were in attendance, either in-person or via the conference call. On March 9<sup>th</sup>, SFHSS issued a detailed addendum to the RFP in response to questions received from prospective Respondents on March 2<sup>nd</sup>. SFHSS received four (4) proposals prior to the RFP deadline of March 23<sup>rd</sup>. Each proposal and Respondent team met the minimum qualifications of the RFP.

As of March 29<sup>th</sup>, SFHSS distributed the proposals, instructions, supporting materials and scoring criteria to the full evaluation panel. Each Respondent team will be invited to attend an oral interview led by the SFHSS Finance division in front of the full evaluation panel. The Oral Interviews are scheduled for Monday, April 30<sup>th</sup>. Per the RFP, each Respondent team will be provided the same questions/scenarios and afforded the same exact time to prepare their responses and deliver those responses to the evaluation panel. SFHSS expects to issue a notice of intent to award within five (5) business days of the Oral Interview.

#### **State Action Against Sutter Health**

On March 31, 2018— "California Attorney General Xavier Becerra announced the filing of a lawsuit against Sutter Health, the largest hospital system in Northern California, for anticompetitive practices that result in higher healthcare costs for Northern Californians. The action aims to stop Sutter Health from unlawful conduct under state antitrust laws and restore competition in the California healthcare market."

https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-sues-sutter-health-anticompetitive-practices-increase

A recent report by the UC Berkeley Petris Center documents the impact of provider consolidation and market power on prices (attached). The highlights include:

- 44 counties had highly concentrated hospital markets.
- The percent of physicians working for foundations owned by hospitals increased from 24% to 39% between 2010 and 2016.
- Highly concentrated markets are associated with higher prices for a number of hospital and physician services and Affordable Care Act (ACA) premiums.
  - In concentrated markets, average inpatient procedure prices were 79% higher.
  - Likewise, average outpatient physician prices ranged from 35% to 63% higher (depending on the physician specialty) in concentrated markets.
- In Northern California, inpatient prices were 70% higher, outpatient prices were 17-55% higher (depending on the specialty of physician performing the procedure), and ACA premiums were 35% higher than they were in Southern California.
- Even after adjusting for input cost differences (i.e. wages) between Northern California and Southern California, procedure prices are still often 20-30% higher in Northern California than Southern California.

#### Follow up from prior Board Meetings – Provided by Aon

#### SFHSS 2018 10-County Survey

A question was asked why Santa Clara's costs are an outlier to the other counties included in the survey. Several drivers could be contributing to Santa Clara's costs including: 1) its rich plan designs (no deductibles for in-network providers combined with very low copays), 2) geographically, Santa Clara's network includes teaching hospitals with typically higher cost such as Stanford Health Care and 3) the risk of the covered population

#### **Retiree Dental**

A question was asked about offering retirees a base/buy-up or "two tier" dental plan where the buy-up plan would be the same design as what active employees are offered. Because the retiree plan is fully insured (Delta Dental bears the claims fluctuation risk), premiums are set by Delta Dental who does not have the flexibility to bear claims risk. Creating a base/buy-up model creates adverse selection where retirees who know they need additional coverage will elect the buy-up plan and retirees may elect the buy-up plan for one year and switch back to the base plan when they know they need additional coverage. Because of the adverse selection, the premiums across the two plans needs to be sufficient to cover the adverse selection. This is addressed by adding additional risk margin to both plans, causing an increase to the current plan's premiums. This increase in the base plan could make it cost-prohibitive for retirees who want a less expensive plan option.

#### Infusion Costs

A question was asked regarding infusion costs and where those costs would be categorized in vendor reporting, would it be in the medical or pharmacy costs? When the infusion is performed in an inpatient or outpatient facility or provider's office, the cost would be included with the medical claims. If the infusion is performed at home, the cost would be included with the pharmacy claims.

#### Attachments

- 1. SFHSS Division Reports
- <u>Consolidation in California's Health Care Market 2010-2016</u>: Impact on Prices and ACA <u>Premiums</u> Nicholas C. Petris Center on Health Care Markets and Consumer Welfare School of Public Health University of California, Berkeley March 26, 20181.
- 3. Vendor Landscape Graphic

#### SFHSS Division Reports – April 2018 Operations

- All customer services level were met in March
- Training underway to prepare a core group of Member Services staff to process Retirement season applications with high accuracy and speed.
- Retirement Training material also under development to capture all aspects of retirement processing
- Training for dependent eligibility verification audit

#### **Enterprise Systems & Analytics**

- Officially kicked off the sfhss.org website redesign project!
- Produced risk score reports for presentation to the Board. We have augmented our previous year's reporting with additional splices.
- Completed ahead of the deadline, the 1095 filing with the IRS for the 2017 tax year for all 4 employers. The current filing year's effort required 292 staff hours devoted in the following activities:
  - Review Composition & Reference Guide, Business rules, Schemas, transmission checklists and testing packages.
  - Renew transmitter control code with the IRS
  - o Author development request with required modifications
  - o Complete development work to modify the computer programs
  - o Unit, system and acceptance testing
  - o Migrate code to production environment
  - o Generate 1095 files for individuals
  - o Coordinate with print vendor
  - o Accept delivery of CSF forms to be hand distributed
  - o Distribute forms
  - Process returned mail
  - o Respond to member questions/requests for duplicate forms
  - o Generate XML files for electronic filing, review for errors
  - o Upload files to IRS, check transmission status codes
  - o Download response files
  - Process corrections (research, update xml code, generate unique identifiers and checksums)
  - o Prepare filing summary package for all employers
  - Support new Kaiser request for this year to provide file identifying members by Employer ID

#### **Budget and Procurement**

• Working with Mayor's Office on FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 budget request

#### Finance and Accounting

• Preparing for FY 2017-18 year-end close

#### **Financial System Project**

- Completed F\$P Conversion Data Cleanup Project
- Ongoing coordination with Controller's Office on final phases of PO Cleanup
- Beginning cash balance for Trust will be calculated when the Controller's Office closes FY 2016-17 in old financial system

#### **Contracting and Vendor Management**

- Fully executed 2018 Amendment to ASO agreement with United Healthcare
- Fully executed Professional Service Agreement for design and development of 2019 SFHSS Drupal website
- Received four (4) Proposal in response to RFP for Actuarial and Consulting Services, under review by RFP evaluation panel

#### Communications

- Worked with SFHSS management team on Dependent Verification Audit (DEVA) communications and coordination with Alight (Aon).
- Review campaign materials, prepare communications and website content for Well-Being Manager on Recharge, Eat Better Feel Better, Real Appeal campaigns.
- Work with Project Manager on preparing for 2019 Open Enrollment.
- Begin working on new website development with SFHSS team and vendor.

#### Well-being

- Quarterly update (Jan-Mar) for onsite activities, EAP, and the Catherine Dodd Wellness Center with comparisons to the same timeframe in 2017:
  - o 68 onsite services (2.4x more)
  - o 81 EAP organizational services (15% decrease)
  - 783 people served by EAP organizational services (6% increase)
  - 269 EAP counseling clients (46% increase)
  - 366 EAP counseling hours (34% increase)
  - o 1694 Wellness Center visits (11% decrease)

# **Management Report**

**OPERATIONS UPDATE | April 2018** 

MYHSS.ORG

### Calls and Office Visits: March 2018

Calls and In-person Assistance total:

- Inbound calls: 3,879 answered calls (14.2% ↓ from 2017)
- Speed of answer: 11 seconds (equal to 2017)
- Abandonment rate: 0.6% (22 calls)
- In-person assistance: 1,163 members (14% ↓ from 2017)

### Inbound Calls: March 2018



-2-

SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

MYHSS.ORG

## Average Speed of Answer: March 2018



SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

### Abandonment Rate: March 2018



SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

MYHSS.ORG

### In-person Assistance: March 2018



■ 2016 ■ 2017 **■** 2018

— 5 —

### SAN FRANCISCO **HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM**

### MYHSS.ORG

## Delinquencies & Terminations: March 2018

**Delinquency Notices Sent.** 

- Employees: 299
- Retirees: 64

Termination Notices Sent.

- Employees: 161
- Retirees: 41

### **Delinquency Notices: March 2018**



SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

### **Termination Notices: March 2018**



SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

# Enterprise Systems & Analytics Report

April 12, 2018



SFHSS.ORG

## PeopleSoft / Benefits Administration

- Generated and transmitted Dependent Eligibility Verification Audit (DEVA) production file
- Completed Tax Year 2017 1095 Filing with the IRS
- Resolved issues with FIS (payment gateway used for over-the-counter payments) load files
- Additional work completed for the 2018 Plan Year implementation:
- Provided additional audit queries for Member Services
- Resolved SFERS outbound file issue
- Developing prototype for redesign of key pension system interface files
- Released new VSP Vision payment reports

# Open Enrollment for 2019 Plan Year

- Held OE for 2019 plan year kick-off meeting 3/23
- Completed 1<sup>st</sup> Draft of OE Schedule
- Diagrammed OE Rates & Benefits process

### **Self-Service Benefits**

- Met with Controller's Office and Department of Technology to discuss expansion of self-service benefits for retirees 3/23
- Met with System's Division on 3/30 regarding skin (custom graphical appearance)
- Documented SFHSS skin requirements
- Met with Systems Division and Vendor regarding skin 4/2
- Configured self service for New Hires in test environment

## Data Analytics

- Spliced Actives from Early Retirees for Express Dashboard detail
- Produced reports for current and previous year risk scores
- Generated risk scores for City Plan Out of Area
- Provided Pacific Business Group on Health (PBGH) member counts
- Provided data on hospital costs related to Sutter Anti-Trust suit

# **IT** Initiatives

- Acquiring additional furniture for the Wellness division
- Completed Agile Principles & Practices Class 3/24
- Web-site redesign project launched on 3/29
  - Provided vendor access to Google Analytics
  - Provided vendor ftp access to existing site
- Created additional workflows for Enterprise Content Management (ECM)

– 3 —

Migrated code for Eat Better Feel Better campaign to website

## Meetings attended by staff

Miscellaneous:

- Attended Catalyst for Payment Reform (CPR) High-Value Health Care Collaborative meeting on 3/19
- Attended KP Patient Advisory Council Cardiology Meeting 3/20
- Attended Continuity of Operations (COOP) Planning meeting 3/21
- Attended City-wide Cybersecurity Roundtable meeting 3/21
- Presented at CPR webinar series on Employee Communications 3/28
- Attended Truven Public Sector User Group (PSUG) presentation on Cognitive Analytics 3/28
- Participated in website redesign kick off meeting 3/29

# **Management Report**

Communications | April 12, 2018

SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM



### **Communications Update**

- Worked with SFHSS management team on Dependent Verification Audit (DEVA) communications and coordination with Alight (Aon).
- Produce employee communications.
- Review campaign materials, prepare communications and website content for Well-Being Manger on Recharge, Eat Better Feel Better, Real Appeal campaigns.
- Work with Project Manager on preparing for 2019 Open Enrollment.
- Begin working on new website development with SFHSS team and vendor.
- Update Brand Guidelines.
- Work with graphic designer on well-being campaign materials and internal projects.

### March 2018 Web Traffic

	Summary		
Month Mar 2018 01 Mar 2018 - 00:00 31 Mar 2018 - 23:57			
Unique visitors	Number of visits	Pages	Hits
19376	<b>36358</b> (1.87 visits/visitor)	<b>143940</b> (3.95 Pages/Visit)	<b>454047</b> (12.48 Hits/Visit)
		226847	344551

bots, worms, or replies with special HTTP status codes.

Monthly history



Month	Unique visitors	Number of visits	Pages	Hits	Bandwidth
Jan 2018	17545	36976	111391	384230	26.86 GB
Feb 2018	19075	40921	120994	386641	26.42 GB
Mar 2018	19376	36358	143940	454047	49.94 GB
Apr 2018	0	0	0	0	0
May 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Jun 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Jul 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Aug 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Sep 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Oct 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Nov 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Dec 2018	0	0	0	0	0
Total	55996	114255	376325	1224918	103.22 GB

-2-

### March 2018 eNews

	March 2018	
	<i>i</i> = <i>i</i> = 5	
Total Delivered	15,430	100%
Opened	6,600	43%
Clicked Links	648	10%

# WELL-BEING MONTHLY REPORT

January-March 2018 REPORT

Provided at the April 2018 Health Service Board Meeting

# Well-Being@Work: Onsite Activities

### **Number of Activities**

Jan-Mar 2018

- 68 services
- 29% activities provided by HSS Well-Being Team

Comparison to Jan-Mar 2017

- 2.4x more onsite activities were offered in 2018
- Increase in screenings (3 to 17) is attributable to Know Your Numbers screening "season" offered as part of the Live, Feel, and Be Better in 2018 campaign
- Additional increases may be due to a more engaged/established Champion network

\_\_1\_

Onsite Activities at Worksites by Type and Month, January-March 2018



## Employee Assistance Program: Organizational Services

## **Number of Services**

Jan-Mar 2018

- 81 organizational services
  - 53% consultations
  - 25% trainings

Comparison to Jan-Mar 2017

 15% decrease in organizational services provided

### Number of Organizational Services by Type and Month, January-March 2018



## **Employee Assistance Program: Organizational Services**

# **People Served**

Jan-Mar 2018

- 783 people served
  - 47% at training
  - 26% at orientation
- Comparison to Jan-Mar 2017
- 6% increase in people served

### Number of People Served by Organizational Services by Type and Month, January-March 2018



### Employee Assistance Program: Counseling

**Counseling Clients and Hours** Jan-Mar 2018

- **112 New Clients**
- 269 Total Clients
- 366 Total Counseling Hours

Comparison to Jan-Mar 2017

- 20% increase in New Clients
- 46% increase in Total Clients
- 34% increase in Total Counseling Hours

- 4 —



### EAP Clinical Services: 01 2018

SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

## Catherine Dodd Wellness Center

**Total Participation** Jan-Mar 2018

- 1694 Total Visits
- 565 Average Visits/Month

Comparison to Jan-Mar 2017

- 11% decrease
  - This may be attributable to 2 fewer special events and 38 fewer group exercise classes:



### **Total Participation**

## Wellness Center: Highlights



**Open Use Increased** 

- 15% increase Open Use/Video Workout participants
  - Open Use has been promoted more heavily because classes have been unable to be offered or cancelled



Tours Increased

• 14 more tours

 This is mostly attributable to the HSS Member Services lobby relocation to the Wellness Center Conference Room since late February

### SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

### myhss.org

### Consolidation in California's Health Care Market 2010-2016: Impact on Prices and ACA Premiums

Nicholas C. Petris Center on Health Care Markets and Consumer Welfare School of Public Health University of California, Berkeley

March 26, 2018

### CONTENTS

Executive Summary	9
Introduction	10
Data and Methods	10
Health Care Market Concentration Trends	12
Changes in the Percent of Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Healt System	th 24
The Association between Health Care Market Concentration and Health Care Prices/ACA Premiums	26
The Association between the Percent of Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by Hospitals or Health Systems and Outpatient Procedure Prices	32
Prices and Premiums in Rating Areas with HHIs Above and Below the HHI Thresholds Used the Horizontal Merger Guidelines	by 36
A Tale of Prices and Premiums in Northern vs. Southern California	40
Limitations	44
Conclusion	44
References	44
Appendix	46

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1. Hospital Market Concentration, 2016	. 12
Figure 2. Hospital Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016	. 13
Figure 3. Insurer Market Concentration, 2016	. 15
Figure 4. Insurer Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016	. 15
Figure 5. Primary Care Market Concentration, 2016	. 16
Figure 6. Primary Care Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016	. 17
Figure 7. Cardiology Market Concentration, 2016	. 18
Figure 8. Cardiology Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016	. 19
Figure 9. Hematology/Oncology Market Concentration, 2016	. 20
Figure 10. Hematology/Oncology Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016	. 20
Figure 11. Orthopedics Market Concentration, 2016	. 21
Figure 12. Orthopedics Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016	. 22
Figure 13. Radiology Market Concentration, 2016	. 23
Figure 14. Radiology Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016	. 23
Figure 15. Percent of Physicians in Each County Who Work for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System	. 25
Figure 16. Percent of Primary Care Physicians in Each County Who Work for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System	. 25
Figure 17. Percent of Specialist Physicians in Each County Who Work for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System	d . 26
Figure 19. Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction) Price and Hospital HHI Correlation	. 28
Figure 20. Upper Respiratory Infection/Common Cold Price and Primary Care HHI Correlatio	on . 29
Figure 21. Cardiomyopathy (Heart Muscle Disease) Price and Cardiology HHI Correlation	. 30
Figure 22. Breast Cancer Exam Price and Hematology/Oncology HHI Correlation	. 30
Figure 23. Ankle Fracture/Sprain Price and Orthopedics HHI Correlation	. 31
Figure 24. Covered California Benchmark Premium and Insurer HHI Correlation	. 32
Figure 25. Upper Respiratory Infection/Common Cold Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation	. 33

Figure 26. Cardiomyopathy (Heart Muscle Disease) Price and the Percent of Cardiologists	
Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation	4
Figure 27. Breast Cancer Exam Price and the Percent of Hematologists/Oncologists Working fo Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation	r 35
Figure 28. Knee Ligament Injury Price and the Percent of Orthopedists Working for Foundation Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation	ıs 36
Figure A1. Covered California Rating Areas	6
Figure A2. Partial Hip Replacement Revision Price and Hospital HHI Correlation	17
Figure A3. Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight) Price and Hospital HHI Correlation 4	17
Figure A4. Cervical Cancer Screening Converted Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation 4	8
Figure A5. Colon Cancer Screening Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation	8
Figure A6. Diagnostic Blood Fecal Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation	9
Figure A7. Diverticular Disease Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation	9
Figure A8. Fibroids Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation	;0
Figure A9. Kidney (Renal) Failure Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation	;0
Figure A10. Sore Throat Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation	51
Figure A11. Urinary Tract Stone Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation	51
Figure A12. Cardiovascular Symptoms (Other) Price and Cardiology HHI Correlation	52
Figure A13. Coronary Artery Diseases with Heart Bypass Surgery Price and Cardiology HHI Correlation	52
Figure A14. Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer Price and Hematology/Oncology HHI Correlation	53
Figure A15. Prostate Cancer Price and Hematology/Oncology HHI Correlation	53
Figure A16. Knee Ligament Injury Price and Orthopedics HHI Correlation	54
Figure A17. Wrist or Hand Fracture/Dislocation/Sprain Price and Orthopedics HHI Correlation	54
Figure A18. Cervical Cancer Screening Converted Prices and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation	55
Figure A19. Colon Cancer Screening Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation	3 55

Figure A20. Diagnostic Blood Fecal Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A21. Diverticular Disease Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A22. Fibroids Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A23. Kidney (Renal) Failure Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A24. Sore Throat Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A25. Urinary Tract Stone Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A26. Cardiovascular Symptoms (Other) Price and the Percent of Cardiologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A27. Coronary Artery Diseases with Heart Bypass Surgery Price and the Percent of Cardiologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation 59
Figure A28. Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer Price and the Percent of Hematologists/Oncologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A29. Prostate Cancer Price and the Percent of Hematologists/Oncologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A30. Ankle Fracture/Sprain Price and the Percent of Orthopedists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
Figure A31. Wrist or Hand Fracture/Dislocation/Sprain Price and the Percent of Orthopedists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation
# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Level of Concern and Scrutiny Based on HHI Change and Resulting HHI Level11
Table 2. Hospital Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties
Table 3. Insurer Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties    16
Table 4. Primary Care Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties
Table 5. Cardiology Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties
Table 6. Hematology/Oncology Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties. 21
Table 7. Orthopedics Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties    22
Table 8. Radiology Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties
Table 9. Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016) by HHI Level37
Table 10. Input cost adjusted Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016) by HHI Level
Table 11. Northern California vs. Southern California Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016)
Table 12. Input Cost Adjusted Northern California vs. Southern California Prices (2014) andACA Premiums (2016)43
Table A1: Rating Area-Level Hospital and Physician HHIs, 2014
Table A2: Insurer HHIs and Covered California Benchmark Plan Monthly Premiums, 2016 63
Table A3. The association between inpatient procedure prices and hospital market concentration (HHI), 2014.      64
Table A4. The association between outpatient primary care procedure prices and primary caremarket concentration (HHI), 2014
Table A5. The association between outpatient cardiology procedure prices and cardiology market concentration (HHI), 2014.      66
Table A6. The association between outpatient hematology/oncology procedure prices andhematology/oncology market concentration (HHI), 2014
Table A7. The association between outpatient orthopedics procedure prices and orthopedicsmarket concentration (HHI), 2014
Table A8. The association between ACA premiums and insurer market concentration (HHI),2016.69
Table A9. The association between inpatient procedure prices and hospital market concentration(HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)

Table A10. The association between outpatient primary care procedure prices and primary caremarket concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)
Table A11. The association between outpatient cardiology procedure prices and cardiologymarket concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)
Table A12. The association between outpatient hematology/oncology procedure prices and hematology/oncology market concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population) 73
Table A13. The association between outpatient orthopedics procedure prices and orthopedicsmarket concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)
Table A14. The association between ACA premiums and insurer market concentration (HHI),2016. (weighted by rating area population)
Table A15: Rating Area-Level Percent of Physicians Working for Foundations Owned byHospitals or Health Systems, 2014
Table A16. The association between primary care procedure prices and the percent of primarycare physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system,2014.77
Table A17. The association between cardiology procedure prices and the percent of cardiologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014
Table A18. The association between hematology/oncology procedure prices and the percent ofhematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital orhealth system, 2014.79
Table A19. The association between orthopedics procedure prices and the percent of orthopedists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014
Table A20. The association between primary care procedure prices and the percent of primarycare physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system,2014 (weighted by rating area population)81
Table A21. The association between cardiology procedure prices and the percent of cardiologistsin a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014 (weightedby rating area population)
Table A22. The association between hematology/oncology procedure prices and the percent of hematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014 (weighted by rating area population)

Table A23. The association between orthopedics procedure prices and the percent of orthopedists
in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014 (weighted
by rating area population)
Table A24. Individual Procedure Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016) by HHI Level 85
Table A25. Input Cost Adjusted Individual Procedure Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016)         by HHI Level.         86
Table A26. Northern California vs. Southern California Individual Procedure Prices (2014) and         ACA Premiums (2016)
Table A27. Northern California vs. Southern California Input Cost Adjusted Individual         Procedure Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016)

## **Executive Summary<sup>1</sup>**

This report details the rapid consolidation of the hospital, physician, and insurance markets in California from 2010 to 2016. According to the U.S. Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission's *Horizontal Merger Guidelines*, 44 counties had highly concentrated hospital markets. For physician markets, 12 counties had highly concentrated primary care markets, 20 counties had highly concentrated orthopedics markets, 22 counties had highly concentrated cardiology markets, 24 counties had highly concentrated hematology/oncology markets, and 26 counties had highly concentrated radiology markets. The commercial insurance market was also highly concentrated with 42 counties considered highly concentrated according to the Guidelines. There was also an increasing trend of hospitals purchasing physician practices. The percent of physicians working for foundations owned by hospitals increased from 24% to 39% between 2010 and 2016.

We found evidence that highly concentrated markets are associated with higher prices for a number of hospital and physician services and Affordable Care Act (ACA) premiums. In markets with Herfindahl-Hirschman Indices (HHIs) above 1,500, average inpatient procedures prices were 79% higher than the prices in markets with HHIs below 1,500. Likewise, average outpatient physician prices ranged from 35% to 63% higher (depending on the physician specialty) in markets with HHIs above 1,500. In Northern California – which is considerably more concentrated than Southern California across all measures of health care market concentration that we analyzed – inpatient prices were 70% higher, outpatient prices were 17-55% higher (depending on the specialty of physician performing the procedure), and ACA premiums were 35% higher than they were in Southern California. Even after adjusting for input cost differences (i.e. wages) between Northern California and Southern California, procedure prices are still often 20-30% higher in Northern California than Southern California.

In sum, the pace of market consolidation in California has increased significantly. The vast majority of counties in California warrant concern and scrutiny according to the DOJ/FTC Guidelines. Consumers are paying more for health care as a result of market consolidation. It is now time for regulators and legislators to take action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We are grateful to Ted Frech (Professor of Economics, Department of Economics, University of California, Santa Barbara), Sherry Glied (Dean and Professor of Public Service, Robert F. Wagner Graduate School of Public Service, New York University), and Tom Rice (Distinguished Professor, Department of Health Policy and Management, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles) for helpful comments and suggestions on this report. All remaining errors are our own.

## Introduction

Following a national trend (Fulton 2017), California insurer and provider markets are becoming more concentrated (Scheffler 2017, Melnick and Fonkych 2016). Market concentration is important because it is well known that as health care markets become more concentrated, prices and premiums for consumers increase (Scheffler and Arnold 2017, Scheffler et al. 2016, Scheffler et al. 2015, Gaynor et al. 2015). This report details the changes in health care market concentration in California from 2010 to 2016. The three objectives of the report are (1) to describe trends in market concentration for hospitals, physician organizations, and insurers (2) to demonstrate the increase in the percent of physicians who work for foundations owned by hospitals or health systems (3) to analyze the relationship between market concentration and health care procedure prices, as well as Affordable Care Act (ACA) premiums.

The report proceeds as follows. In the next section, we describe the data and methods used in our analysis. The following section presents California health care market concentration trends from 2010 to 2016. We then analyze changes in the percent of physicians working foundations owned by a hospital or health system that occurred from 2010 to 2016. The report concludes with a section that describes the association between health care market concentration and health care procedure prices/ACA premiums. This section that discusses the differences in prices and premiums that exist between Northern and Southern California, and a summary of our findings.

## **Data and Methods**

Our first set of analyses use the well-known Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) to measure insurer, hospital, and physician market concentration. HHI is used in the U.S. Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission (DOJ/FTC)'s *Horizontal Merger Guidelines* (U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission 2010) and can range from 0 to 10,000. The measure is calculated by summing the squared market shares of firms. For example, if a market included two firms, one with 80% market share and the other with 20% market share, the HHI of the market would be 6,800 (or  $80^2 + 20^2$ ). The *Horizontal Merger Guidelines* consider markets with HHIs between 1,500 and 2,500 points to be moderately concentrated and markets with HHIs in excess of 2,500 points to be highly concentrated. In the context of mergers, the Guidelines assign the highest concern and scrutiny to mergers that would increase the HHI in a market by over 200 points and leave the market with an HHI of over 2,500. Other HHI changes and levels trigger different degrees of concern and scrutiny (see Table 1 for details). For this report, we defined markets using counties, but other definitions such as metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are possible. We highlight the counties that increased by over 200 HHI points from 2010 to 2016 and had HHIs of over 2,500 in 2016.

Table 1. Lev	vel of Co	oncern and S	Scrutiny Bo	ased on H	<i>HI Change</i>	and Resulting	HHI Level
	9		~		0	0	

		HHI Level in 2016			
		< 1,500	1,500 to 2,500	>2,500	
HHI Change	<100	Low	Low	Low	
2010 to 2016	100 to 200	Low	Moderate	Moderate	
	>200	Low	Moderate	High	

Low: "Unlikely to have adverse competitive effects and ordinarily require no further analysis" Moderate: "Potentially raise significant competitive concerns and often warrant scrutiny" High: "Presumed to be likely to enhance market power"

Source: Authors' analysis of U.S. Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission's 2010 Horizontal Merger Guidelines (pg. 19)

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

We measured the market shares of health insurers and hospitals using commercial enrollment (both fully- and self-insured) and inpatient admissions, respectively. Hospital systems were treated as a single firm for the purposes of our market share calculations, and we only accounted for short-term general hospitals when computing market share.<sup>2</sup> Our measures of the market shares of specialist and primary care groups are based on the number of physicians within each group.<sup>3</sup> The data sources we used to calculate these measures included: for health insurers, the Managed Market Surveyor provided by Decision Resources Group (formerly HealthLeaders-Interstudy); for hospitals, the American Hospital Association's (AHA) Annual Survey Database; and for physicians, the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS.

For physicians, we computed an HHI for five separate specialties: primary care, cardiology, hematology/oncology, orthopedics, and radiology. These specialties were chosen because there was ample sample size (at least 10,000 physicians) in the data source and because the four specialty physicians are among the most highly compensated specialties.

Our second set of analyses look at the percent of physicians in a market who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system.<sup>4</sup> In both the first set of analyses with HHIs and this second set, we use counties to define a market geographically. Using counties as the geographic market has been used frequently for research purposes (Frech et al. 2015, Baker et al. 2014).

Our next set of analyses correlate health care prices and ACA premiums with measures of market concentration. The prices we analyze are the median 2014 ACA rating area-level prices

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Specialty hospitals (e.g. rehabilitation centers) or hospitals not open to the general public (e.g. VA hospitals) are not included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Fulton (2017) for methodological details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Corporate practice of medicine laws in California restrict physicians from being directly employed by corporations. See Martin and Neville (2016) for details.

displayed on the California Healthcare Compare website.<sup>5</sup> The prices we analyze are the median amount paid by insurers and consumers for procedures in a specific rating area and were calculated using data from Truven Health MarketScan.<sup>6</sup> Since the prices we have available to us are rating area-level, we correlated the prices with rating area-level HHIs rather than the county-level HHIs in our first set of analyses.

We chose which measure of market concentration to correlate with each procedure prices as follows. If the procedure was an inpatient procedure, we correlated it with hospital market concentration. If the procedure was an outpatient procedure, we identified which physician specialty would be associated with the procedure, and then correlated the market concentration of that specialty with procedure prices. For example, we correlated rating area-level cardiomyopathy prices with rating area-level cardiology HHI.

Finally, we correlate ACA premiums with the market concentration of commercial insurers using ACA rating areas.

## Health Care Market Concentration Trends

Figure 1 shows the hospital HHI, by California county, in 2016. Of the 54 California counties with a hospital in 2016, 44 were highly concentrated (HHI above 2,500), and six were moderately concentrated (HHI between 1,500 and 2,500). The mean HHI across the 54 counties analyzed was a staggering 5,613 in 2016.

Figure 1. Hospital Market Concentration, 2016



*Source: Authors' analysis of the American Hospital Association's Annual Survey Databases. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>http://www.cahealthcarecompare.org/cost\_select.jsp</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>http://article.images.consumerreports.org/prod/content/dam/cro/news\_articles/health/PDFs/CAHealthCareCompare\_\_\_\_\_methods.pdf

Figure 2 examines the changes in hospital HHI that occurred across counties between 2010 and 2016. Hospital concentration was stable during this period with a mean decrease of only 24 HHI points during the period. However, there was significant variation across counties, with 14 counties experiencing HHI increases of over 200 points from 2010 to 2016. These 14 counties qualify for the list of high concern and scrutiny counties according to the DOJ/FTC Guidelines (2016 HHI > 2,500 and HHI change > 200). The list of high concern and scrutiny counties is presented as Table 2.

Figure 2. Hospital Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the American Hospital Association's Annual Survey Databases. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

County	2010 Hospital HHI	2016 Hospital HHI	HHI Change
Stanislaus	3,361	5,172	1,811
Kings	8,534	10,000	1,466
Madera	9,017	10,000	983
Tulare	4,463	5,422	958
Fresno	3,984	4,884	901
San Luis Obispo	5,208	5,753	544
Contra Costa	2,335	2,860	526
Humboldt	6,080	6,480	400
Solano	4,017	4,375	359
Sacramento	2,592	2,844	253
Siskiyou	5,027	5,272	244
San Mateo	2,303	2,543	240
Santa Cruz	5,760	5,974	214
El Dorado	5,747	5,951	203

Table 2. Hospital Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties

*Source: Authors' analysis of the American Hospital Association's Annual Survey Databases. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.* 

Figures 3 and 4 and Table 3 repeat the same analysis, but for insurer market concentration. Similar to the hospital market, most insurer markets are highly concentrated as of 2016. Among the 58 California counties, 42 were highly concentrated and 16 were moderately concentrated (Figure 3). The mean insurer HHI was 2,953 in 2016. Insurer concentration decreased by 203 points on average across the 58 counties between 2010 and 2016 (Figure 4). However, eight counties experienced concentration increases of greater than 200 points during this time. Seven of these eight counties qualify for the list of high concern and scrutiny counties according to the DOJ/FTC Guidelines and are listed in Table 3.

Figure 3. Insurer Market Concentration, 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the Managed Market Surveyor provided by Decision Resources Group (formerly HealthLeaders-Interstudy). Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 4. Insurer Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the Managed Market Surveyor provided by Decision Resources Group (formerly HealthLeaders-Interstudy). Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

County	2010 Insurer HHI	2016 Insurer HHI	HHI Change
Solano	3,333	4,742	1,409
Humboldt	3,106	3,634	528
Butte	3,815	4,286	471
San Joaquin	2,471	2,906	435
Sacramento	2,536	2,951	415
Contra Costa	2,634	2,952	318
Santa Barbara	2,803	3,008	205

Table 3. Insurer Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties

Source: Authors' analysis of the Managed Market Surveyor provided by Decision Resources Group (formerly HealthLeaders-Interstudy). Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figures 5 and 6 show the market concentration of primary care physicians in 2016 and the change in primary care market concentration between 2010 and 2016, respectively. The mean HHI across counties was 1,984 in 2016. Of the 57 counties analyzed, 12 were highly concentrated and 21 were moderately concentrated. The seven counties that warrant high concern and scrutiny according to the DOJ/FTC Guidelines are listed in Table 4.

Figure 5. Primary Care Market Concentration, 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 6. Primary Care Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Table 4. Primary Care Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties

County	2010 Primary Care HHI	2016 Primary Care HHI	HHI Change
Amador	655	2,934	2,279
Plumas	6,303	8,515	2,212
Calaveras	2,888	4,831	1,943
Lake	799	2,505	1,707
Colusa	3,585	4,314	729
Inyo	2,166	2,873	707
El Dorado	2,526	2,902	376

*Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.* 

Figures 7, 9, 11, and 13 show the levels of cardiology, hematology/oncology, orthopedics, and radiology market concentration in 2016. Figures 8, 10, 12, and 14 show the changes in market concentration of each of these four markets between 2010 and 2016. Tables 5-9 show the high concern and scrutiny counties for each of the four markets.

The mean cardiology HHI across counties was 3,357 in 2016 (Figure 7) and concentration increased by 134 HHI on average across counties between 2010 and 2016 (Figure 8). Five counties warrant high concern and scrutiny for cardiology markets (Table 5). For hematology/oncology markets, the mean HHI was 4,388 in 2016 (Figure 9) and concentration increased by 506 HHI on average between 2010 and 2016 (Figure 10). Ten counties warrant high concern and scrutiny for hematology/oncology markets (Table 6). The mean orthopedics HHI across counties was 3,073 in 2016 (Figure 11) and concentration increased by 691 HHI on average between 2010 and 2016 (Figure 12). Fourteen counties warrant high concern and scrutiny for orthopedics markets (Table 7). Finally, for radiology markets, the mean HHI was 4,237 in 2016 (Figure 13); concentration also increased by 438 HHI on average between 2010 and 2016 (Figure 14). Fourteen counties warrant high concern and scrutiny for radiology markets (Table 8).

Figure 7. Cardiology Market Concentration, 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 8. Cardiology Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Table 5.	Cardiology Market	Concentration -	High Concern	and Scrutiny	Counties
	(1/		()	_	

County	2010 Cardiology HHI	2016 Cardiology HHI	HHI Change
El Dorado	2,653	7,222	4,569
Humboldt	1,000	5,556	4,556
Napa	857	3,288	2,431
Amador	2,171	4,136	1,965
San Benito	3,930	5,000	1,070

Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 9. Hematology/Oncology Market Concentration, 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 10. Hematology/Oncology Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

County	2010 Hematology/ Oncology HHI	2016 Hematology/ Oncology HHI	HHI Change
Kings	3,750	10,000	6,250
Mendocino	4,335	10,000	5,665
Imperial	5,000	10,000	5,000
Butte	1,515	5,062	3,547
San Francisco	1,343	4,192	2,849
Fresno	600	2,868	2,268
Santa Clara	1,190	3,130	1,940
Nevada	3,333	5,000	1,667
Placer	2,613	3,127	514
El Dorado	9,763	10,000	237

Table 6. Hematology/Oncology Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties

Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 11. Orthopedics Market Concentration, 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 12. Orthopedics Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Table 7. Orthopedics Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties

County	2010 Orthopedics HHI	2016 Orthopedics HHI	HHI Change
Yolo	2,581	5,950	3,369
Siskiyou	2,203	5,556	3,353
Humboldt	1,250	4,375	3,125
Placer	1,304	4,369	3,065
Sutter	3,888	6,406	2,518
El Dorado	2,727	5,000	2,273
Tehama	3,333	5,509	2,176
Amador	3,122	4,137	1,015
Butte	2,492	3,437	945
Kings	2,800	3,421	621
Calaveras	5,125	5,556	431
Plumas	7,689	8,081	392
Marin	2,126	2,500	374
Del Norte	5,000	5,313	313

*Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.* 

Figure 13. Radiology Market Concentration, 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure 14. Radiology Market Concentration Changes from 2010 to 2016



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

County	2010 Radiology HHI	2016 Radiology HHI	HHI Change
Mono	1,667	10,000	8,333
Humboldt	4,050	10,000	5,950
San Benito	5,000	10,000	5,000
Mendocino	3,889	6,800	2,911
San Francisco	1,385	3,781	2,396
Shasta	1,441	3,579	2,138
Sonoma	1,557	3,081	1,523
Napa	5,460	6,676	1,216
Sutter	6,600	7,813	1,213
Imperial	1,947	2,796	849
Tehama	2,500	3,333	833
Monterey	2,792	3,373	581
Merced	2,097	2,653	556
El Dorado	4,397	4,776	378

Table 8. Radiology Market Concentration – High Concern and Scrutiny Counties

Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS. Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

# Changes in the Percent of Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System

The next set of results examine how the percent of physicians working foundations owned by a hospital or health system changed from 2010 to 2016. For these analyses, we show the results for three groups: all physicians, primary care physicians, and specialist physicians, where we define specialist physicians to consist of the four specialists we analyzed previously – cardiologists, hematologists/oncologists, orthopedists, and radiologists. Figure 15 displays the results for all physicians. In 2010, 24% of a California county's physicians worked for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, on average. By 2016, the percent had jumped to 39%. We found a similar pattern for primary care physicians. Figure 16 shows the same measure to increase from 26% to 39% between 2010 and 2016 for primary care physicians. Figure 17 shows the increase to be even more dramatic for specialist physicians. In 2010, the average county had 21% of its specialist physicians working for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. By 2016, the average county had 50% of its specialist physicians working for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system.





Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS.

Figure 16. Percent of Primary Care Physicians in Each County Who Work for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS.

*Figure 17. Percent of Specialist Physicians in Each County Who Work for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System* 



Source: Authors' analysis of the SK&A Office Based Physicians Database provided by QuintilesIMS.

# The Association between Health Care Market Concentration and Health Care Prices/ACA Premiums

Our next analyses examine the association between health care procedure prices and measures of market concentration. We show this association using ACA rating area-level median procedure prices. There are 19 ACA rating areas in California (see Figure A1 in the appendix for a map). The ACA rating area-level median procedure prices we utilized are publicly available from California Healthcare Compare.<sup>7</sup> California Healthcare Compare does not provide price information for rating area 14 (Central Valley), so the figures we present in the following section have a maximum of 18 observations. For certain procedures, price data is available for fewer than 18 rating areas. Since the prices available to use are rating area-level, we correlate them with rating area-level measures of market concentration. Table A1 in the appendix displays the rating area-level concentration measures that we used in the price correlations that follow.

Figures 19-23 graphically depict the correlation between health care market concentration and the prices of various health care procedures. In total, we selected three inpatient procedures and 18 outpatient procedures to correlate with measures of health care market concentration. We correlated the inpatient procedure prices with hospital market concentration. For each outpatient procedure, we correlated the procedure's prices with the market concentration of the specialty that performs the procedure. For instance, we correlated cardiomyopathy (heart muscle disease)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>http://www.cahealthcarecompare.org/cost\_select.jsp</u>

prices with measures of cardiology market concentration. The full list of the three inpatient procedures and the 18 outpatient procedures (by specialty) we analyzed are footnoted below.<sup>8</sup> For brevity, we discuss the results of one procedure price correlation each for hospital, primary care, cardiology, hematology/oncology, and radiology (Figure 19-23, respectively). Graphical depictions of the remaining 17 procedure price/market concentration correlations are available in the appendix (Figures A2-A17). Additionally, the regression estimates that underlie Figures 19-23 and Figures A2-A17 are also available in the appendix as Tables A3-A8. Tables A3-A8 estimate the association between both unadjusted and input cost adjusted procedure prices and market concentration. Tables A9-A14 are identical to Tables A3-A8, except that the regressions in Tables A9-A14 are weighted by rating area population to account for the fact that population varies considerably across rating areas. While all the figures presented within the main text of the report use unadjusted prices (i.e. actual prices that are paid), the regression tables in the appendix present the results for both unadjusted and input cost adjusted prices. We used the Medicare wage index to input cost adjust prices.<sup>9</sup> The Medicare program uses the Medicare wage index to adjust standardized amounts paid to hospitals to account for differences in hospital wage levels across regions. Results using input cost adjusted prices are similar to the unadjusted price results that we present in the main text (see the appendix for details).

Figure 19 shows the correlation between heart attack (acute myocardial infarction) prices and hospital market concentration. The average median heart attack price across the 17 rating areas analyzed was 20,809. In Los Angeles – East, which had a hospital HHI of 656 in 2014, the median price to treat a heart attack was 15,795. In contrast, in the Eastern rating area, where hospital HHI was 3,851, the median price to treat a heart attack was 28,477 - 80% above the price to treat a heart attack in Los Angeles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> **Inpatient procedures (3)**: heart attack (acute myocardial infarction), partial hip replacement revision, premature baby (extremely low weight)

**Outpatient procedures (18)**: Primary Care (9) – cervical cancer screening converted, colon cancer screening – sigmoidoscopy, diagnostic blood fecal test, diverticular disease, fibroids, kidney (renal) failure, sore throat, upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult), urinary tract stone; Cardiology (3) – cardiomyopathy (heart muscle disease), cardiovascular symptoms (other), coronary artery disease with heart bypass surgery;

Hematology/Oncology (3) – breast cancer, lung, bronchi, or mediastinum cancer, prostate cancer; Orthopedics (3) – ankle fracture/sprain, knee ligament injury, wrist or hand fracture/dislocation/sprain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html





Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.10 level. See Table A3 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

Our analysis of the correlation between outpatient procedure prices and the market concentrations of the physician specialties that perform the procedures begins with Figure 20. The figure shows the correlation between upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult) prices and primary care market concentration. The average median upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult) price across the 18 rating areas analyzed was \$151. In Orange County, which had a primary care HHI of 513 in 2014, the median price to treat a common cold was \$131. Alternatively, in San Mateo, where primary care HHI was 1,892, the median price to treat a common cold was \$215 – 64% above the price to treat a common cold in Orange County.





Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p < 0.01 level. See Table A4 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

Figure 21 shows the correlation between cardiomyopathy (heart muscle disease) prices and cardiology market concentration. The average median cardiomyopathy price across the 17 rating areas analyzed was \$1,867. In Los Angeles – East, which had a cardiology HHI of 259 in 2014, the median cardiomyopathy price was \$1,500. In San Francisco, where cardiology HHI was 1,237, the median cardiomyopathy price was \$3,023 – about double the cardiomyopathy price of Los Angeles.

Figure 21. Cardiomyopathy (Heart Muscle Disease) Price and Cardiology HHI Correlation



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.01 level. See Table A5 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

The correlation between breast cancer exam prices and hematology/oncology market concentration is shown in Figure 22. The average median breast cancer exam price across the 18 rating areas analyzed was \$4,686. In San Diego, which had a hematology/oncology HHI of 1,298 in 2014, the median breast cancer exam price was \$4,310. In San Francisco, where hematology/oncology HHI was 4,331, the median breast cancer exam price was \$5,898 – 37% above the median breast cancer exam price in San Diego.

Figure 22. Breast Cancer Exam Price and Hematology/Oncology HHI Correlation



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.05 level. See Table A6 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

Figure 23 shows the correlation between ankle fracture/sprain prices and orthopedics market concentration. The average median ankle fracture/sprain price across the 18 rating areas analyzed was \$537. In Orange County, which had an orthopedics HHI of 240 in 2014, the median ankle fracture/sprain price was \$404. In the Eastern rating area, where orthopedics HHI was 2,612, the median ankle fracture/sprain price was \$911 – over double the median ankle fracture/sprain price in Orange County.





Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.01 level. See Table A7 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

Figure 24 shows the correlation between ACA premiums and insurer market concentration (see Table A2 in the appendix for benchmark premiums and insurer HHIs by rating area). The premiums shown in the figure are the second-lowest cost silver plan (hereafter, benchmark plan) in each rating area in 2016. The premium of the benchmark plan in each rating area is used to compute the advance premium tax credits available to household between 138% and 400% of the federal poverty level. The average monthly benchmark plan premium for an unsubsidized 40-year old across the 19 rating areas analyzed was \$342 in 2016.<sup>10</sup> In San Diego, which had an insurer HHI of 1,539 in 2016, the average monthly benchmark plan premium was \$296 for an unsubsidized 40-year-old. In the Monterey Coast rating area, where insurer HHI was 3,380, the average monthly benchmark plan premium in San Diego.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>http://www.chcf.org/aca-411/explore-the-</u>

data#chart%2Caffordability%2Cpremiums%2Cprem\_assistance%2CRegionMap%20(totalprem)%2C2016%2Cregi
on12

Figure 24. Covered California Benchmark Premium and Insurer HHI Correlation



Notes: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index. The benchmark premium shown in the figure is the monthly premium an unsubsidized 40-year-old would pay for the second-lowest-cost silver plan in each rating area. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.01 level. See Table A8 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

# The Association between the Percent of Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by Hospitals or Health Systems and Outpatient Procedure Prices

The next set of analyses (Figures 25-28) repeat the analyses in Figures 20-24 above, but with the percent of physicians who work for foundations owned by hospitals or health systems. Based on previous studies, we predict that rating areas with a higher percent of physicians working for foundations owned by hospitals or health systems will be associated with higher outpatient procedure prices (see Post et al. (2017) for a review of this literature). Table A15 in the appendix displays the rating area-level percent of physicians working for foundations owned by hospitals or health systems that we used in the price correlations that follow. We did performed the analysis for the same 18 outpatient procedures we analyzed in the previous section.<sup>11</sup> Again, for brevity, we show the result for one procedure with each specialty in the main text. The graphical depictions of the results for the remaining 14 procedures are available in the appendix as Figures A18-A31. The regressions from which the figures were produced are also available in the appendix. Tables A16-A19 show unweighted regressions while the regressions in Tables A20-A23 are weighted by the population in each rating area. Tables A16-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> **Outpatient procedures (18)**: Primary Care (9) – cervical cancer screening converted, colon cancer screening – sigmoidoscopy, diagnostic blood fecal test, diverticular disease, fibroids, kidney (renal) failure, sore throat, upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult), urinary tract stone; Cardiology (3) – cardiomyopathy (heart muscle disease), cardiovascular symptoms (other), coronary artery disease with heart bypass surgery; Hematology/Oncology (3) – breast cancer, lung, bronchi, or mediastinum cancer, prostate cancer; Orthopedics (3) – ankle fracture/sprain, knee ligament injury, wrist or hand fracture/dislocation/sprain

A23 all perform the analysis using both unadjusted prices and input cost adjusted prices. All the figures shown in the main text use unadjusted prices.

Figure 25 shows the correlation between upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult) prices and the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system. The average median upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult) price across the 18 rating areas analyzed was \$151. In Orange County, which had 22% of its primary care physicians working for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median price to treat a common cold was \$131. Alternatively, in San Francisco, where 49% of primary care physicians work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median price to treat a common cold was 205 - 56% above the price to treat a common cold was common cold in Orange County.

Figure 25. Upper Respiratory Infection/Common Cold Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



Note: % PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by hospital or health systems. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.01 level. See Table A16 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

Figure 26 shows the correlation between cardiomyopathy (heart muscle disease) prices and the percent of cardiologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system. The average median cardiomyopathy price across the 17 rating areas analyzed was \$1,867. In Los Angeles – East, which had 14% of its cardiologists working for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median cardiomyopathy price was \$1,500. In San Francisco, where 58% of cardiologists work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median cardiomyopathy price was \$3,023 – about double the cardiomyopathy price of Los Angeles.

Figure 26. Cardiomyopathy (Heart Muscle Disease) Price and the Percent of Cardiologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



Note: % CAR Own by Hosp = the percent of cardiologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by hospital or health systems. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.10 level. See Table A17 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

The correlation between breast cancer exam prices and the percent of hematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system is shown in Figure 27. The average median breast cancer exam price across the 18 rating areas analyzed was \$4,686. In the Central Coast, which had 16% of its hematologists/oncologists working for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median breast cancer exam price was \$3,516. In San Francisco, where 77% of hematologists/oncologists work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median breast cancer exam price was \$5,898 – 68% above the median breast cancer exam price in the Central Coast.

Figure 27. Breast Cancer Exam Price and the Percent of Hematologists/Oncologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



Note: % HEM/ONC Own by Hosp = the percent of hematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p<0.01 level. See Table A18 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

Figure 28 shows the correlation between knee ligament injury prices and the percent of orthopedists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system. The average median knee ligament injury price across the 18 rating areas analyzed was \$279. In Orange County, which had 17% of its orthopedists working for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median knee ligament injury price was \$270. In San Mateo, where 56% of orthopedists work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median knee ligament injury price was \$270. In San Mateo, where 56% of orthopedists work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system, the median knee ligament injury price was \$326 – 21% above the median breast cancer exam price in the Central Coast.

Figure 28. Knee Ligament Injury Price and the Percent of Orthopedists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



Note: % ORS Own by Hosp = the percent of orthopedists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system. The slope of the regression line in the figure is statistically significant at the p < 0.05 level. See Table A19 in the appendix for the regression output that corresponds to this figure.

# Prices and Premiums in Rating Areas with HHIs Above and Below the HHI Thresholds Used by the Horizontal Merger Guidelines

Tables 9 and 10 show how prices and premiums vary in rating areas above and below *Horizontal Merger Guidelines* thresholds (U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission 2010). For hospital and physician prices, we use HHI=1,500 as our cutoff for dividing rating areas. According to the Guidelines, 1,500 is the threshold for a moderately concentrated market.

Table 9 shows that 6 rating areas have hospital HHIs below 1,500 while 12 rating areas have hospital HHIs above 1,500 (see Table A1 in the appendix for the list of rating area-level hospital and physician HHIs). The average inpatient procedure price was \$139,909 in rating areas below HHI=1,500 and \$250,203 in rating areas above HHI=1,500 – a 79% difference. The three inpatient procedures used to compute average inpatient procedure price are listed in the notes below the table. Results for individual procedures are available in Table A24 in the appendix.

Likewise, average outpatient primary care, hematology/oncology, and orthopedics procedure prices were much higher in rating areas above HHI=1,500 than in rating areas below HHI=1,500. Average outpatient primary care procedure prices were 35% higher (\$898 vs. \$665), average outpatient hematology/oncology procedure prices were 51% higher (\$20,819 vs. \$13,762), and average outpatient orthopedics procedure prices were 63% higher (\$715 vs. \$439).

At the bottom of Table 9, we show how ACA premiums differ in rating areas above and below HHI=2,500 – the Guidelines' threshold for a highly concentrated market. In the 9 rating areas below insurer HHI=2,500 average ACA benchmark plan monthly premiums were \$318 versus \$363 in the 10 rating areas with insurer above HHI=2,500 – a 14% difference.

Table 9. Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016) by HHI Level

	HHI < 1,500	HHI ≥ 1,500	% Difference
Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$139,909	\$250,203	79%
# of rating areas (Hospital HHI)	6	12	
Avg. Outpatient Primary Care Procedure Price	\$665	\$898	35%
# of rating areas (Primary Care HHI***)	12	6	
Avg. Outpatient Hematology/Oncology Procedure Price	\$13,762	\$20,819	51%
# of rating areas (Hematology/Oncology HHI)	11	7	
Avg. Outpatient Orthopedist Procedure Price	\$439	\$715	63%
# of rating areas (Orthopedics HHI)	17	1	
	HHI < 2,500	HHI ≥ 2,500	% Difference
Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium	\$318	\$363	14%
# of rating areas	9	10	

Notes: The procedures below were used to compute average prices for each provider category. The average reported above is a straight average across the procedures within each category. Cardiology prices are not reported as no rating areas had a cardiology HHI below 1,500 (see Table A1 in the appendix). The premiums listed in Table A2 were used for the analysis of Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premiums. \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating area-level (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating area-level.

*Inpatient procedures (3)*: heart attack (acute myocardial infarction), partial hip replacement revision, premature baby (extremely low weight)

**Outpatient procedures (15)**:

Primary Care (9) – cervical cancer screening converted, colon cancer screening – sigmoidoscopy, diagnostic blood fecal test, diverticular disease, fibroids, kidney (renal) failure, sore throat, upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult), urinary tract stone

Hematology/Oncology (3) – breast cancer, lung, bronchi, or mediastinum cancer, prostate cancer Orthopedics (3) – ankle fracture/sprain, knee ligament injury, wrist or hand fracture/dislocation/sprain

Table 10 repeats the analysis performed in Table 9 but with input cost adjusted prices. Results for individual procedures are available in Table A25 in the appendix. In Table 10, all procedure prices and premiums were input cost adjusted using the Medicare wage index. The Medicare program uses the Medicare wage index to adjust standardized amounts paid to hospitals to account for differences in hospital wage levels across regions.<sup>12</sup> Table 10 shows that while accounting for regional input cost differences in procedure prices (ACA premium) difference, there are still considerable differences in procedure prices (ACA premiums) in rating areas above and below HHI=1,500 (HHI=2,500). For instance, unadjusted inpatient procedure prices are 79% higher in rating areas above HHI=1,500 than in rating areas below HHI=1,500 (Table 9), while input cost adjusted inpatient procedure prices are 52% higher in rating areas above HHI=1,500 (Table 10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services currently defines "hospital geographic areas (labor markets areas) based on the definitions of Core-Based Statistical Areas (CBSAs) established by the Office of Management and Budget and announced in December 2003." We population-weighted CBSA-level Medicare wage indices to construct the rating area-level Medicare wage indices used in our analysis.

	HHI < 1,500	HHI ≥ 1,500	% Difference
Input cost adjusted Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$108,483	\$165,119	52%
# of rating areas	6	12	
Input cost adjusted Avg. Outpatient Primary Care			
Procedure Price***	\$472	\$622	32%
# of rating areas	12	6	
Input cost adjusted Avg. Outpatient			
Hematology/Oncology Procedure Price	\$10,370	\$13,269	28%
# of rating areas	11	7	
Input cost adjusted Avg. Outpatient Orthopedist			
Procedure Price	\$311	\$577	85%
# of rating areas	17	1	
	HHI < 2,500	HHI ≥ 2,500	% Difference
Input cost adjusted Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly			
Premium	\$233	\$256	10%
# of rating areas	9	10	

Table 10. Input cost adjusted Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016) by HHI Level

Notes: The procedures below were used to compute average prices for each provider category. The average reported above is a straight average across the procedures within each category. Cardiology prices are not reported as no rating areas had a cardiology HHI below 1,500 (see Table A1 in the appendix). The premiums listed in Table A2 were used for the analysis of Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premiums. Prices and ACA premiums were input cost adjusted using the Medicare wage index to adjust for input cost differences across regions. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services currently defines "hospital geographic areas (labor markets areas) based on the definitions of Core-Based Statistical Areas (CBSAs) established by the Office of Management and Budget and announced in December 2003." We population-weighted CBSA-level Medicare wage indices to construct the rating area-level Medicare wage indices used in our analysis. \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating area-level (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating area-level.

*Inpatient procedures (3)*: heart attack (acute myocardial infarction), partial hip replacement revision, premature baby (extremely low weight)

#### **Outpatient procedures (15)**:

Primary Care (9) – cervical cancer screening converted, colon cancer screening – sigmoidoscopy, diagnostic blood fecal test, diverticular disease, fibroids, kidney (renal) failure, sore throat, upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult), urinary tract stone

Hematology/Oncology (3) – breast cancer, lung, bronchi, or mediastinum cancer, prostate cancer Orthopedics (3) – ankle fracture/sprain, knee ligament injury, wrist or hand fracture/dislocation/sprain

#### A Tale of Prices and Premiums in Northern vs. Southern California

There are stark differences in prices and ACA premiums between Northern and Southern California. Covered California defines Northern California as rating areas 1-14 and Southern California as rating areas 15-19.<sup>13</sup> Table 11 compares the average median price in Northern California to the average median price in Southern California for the same 21 procedure prices we have been analyzing throughout the report. Results for individual procedures are available in Table A26 in the appendix. Inpatient procedure prices were 70% higher in Northern California than Southern California \$131,586 vs. \$223,278) while hospital HHI was 110% higher in Northern California than Southern California (2,202 vs. 1,047) in 2014. Among outpatient procedures, Northern California prices were 17-55% higher than Southern California prices in 2014, depending on the physician specialty. The average outpatient hematology/oncology procedure price was 17% higher in Northern California than Southern California (\$11,905 vs. \$18,445) while hematology/oncology HHI was 174% higher in Northern California than Southern California (2,257 vs. 823). Average outpatient cardiology procedure price was 55% higher in Northern California than Southern California (\$17,653 vs. \$28,955) while cardiology HHI was 143% higher in Northern California than Southern California (857 vs. 352).

ACA premiums were similarly much higher in Northern California than Southern California. In 2016, benchmark monthly premiums for an unsubsidized 40-year-old were 35% higher in Northern California than Southern California (\$367 vs. \$271) while insurer HHI was 41% higher in Northern California than Southern California (2,700 vs. 1,919).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Rating Areas (#-name): 1-Northern counties, 2-North Bay counties, 3-Greater Sacramento, 4-San Francisco, 5-Contra Costa, 6-Alameda, 7-Santa Clara, 8-San Mateo, 9-Central Coast, 10-Central Valley, 11-Central Valley, 12-Central Coast, 13-Eastern Region, 14-Central Valley, 15-Los Angeles (Northeast), 16-Los Angeles (Southwest), 17-Inland Empire, 18-Orange County, 19-San Diego. No pricing data for rating area 14 was available from California Health Care Compare, so the north vs. south price comparison we show is for rating areas 1-13 (north) vs. rating areas 15-19 (south).

	Southern California	Northern California	% Difference
Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$131,586	\$223,278	70%
Avg. Hospital HHI	1,047	2,202	110%
Avg. Outpatient Primary Care Procedure Price	\$588	\$802	36%
Avg. Primary Care HHI***	996	1,420	43%
Avg. Outpatient Cardiology Procedure Price	\$17,653	\$28,955	55%
Avg. Cardiology HHI	352	857	143%
Avg. Outpatient Hematology/Oncology Procedure Price	\$11,905	\$18,445	17%
Avg. Hematology/Oncology HHI	823	2,257	174%
Avg. Outpatient Orthopedist Procedure Price	\$396	\$477	20%
Avg. Orthopedist HHI	263	851	224%
Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium	\$271	\$367	35%
Avg. Insurer HHI	1,919	2,700	41%

Table 11. Northern California vs. Southern California Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016)

## Notes:

Procedures included in each price average:

*Inpatient procedures (3)*: heart attack (acute myocardial infarction), partial hip replacement revision, premature baby (extremely low weight)

#### **Outpatient procedures (15)**:

Primary Care (9) – cervical cancer screening converted, colon cancer screening – sigmoidoscopy, diagnostic blood fecal test, diverticular disease, fibroids, kidney (renal) failure, sore throat, upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult), urinary tract stone

Cardiology (3) – cardiomyopathy (heart muscle disease), cardiovascular symptoms (other), coronary artery disease with heart bypass surgery

Hematology/Oncology (3) – breast cancer, lung, bronchi, or mediastinum cancer, prostate cancer Orthopedics (3) – ankle fracture/sprain, knee ligament injury, wrist or hand fracture/dislocation/sprain

- Covered California defines Northern California as rating areas 1-14 and Southern California as rating areas 15-19. Rating Areas (#-name): 1-Northern counties, 2-North Bay counties, 3-Greater Sacramento, 4-San Francisco, 5-Contra Costa, 6-Alameda, 7-Santa Clara, 8-San Mateo, 9-Central Coast, 10-Central Valley, 11-Central Valley, 12-Central Coast, 13-Eastern Region, 14-Central Valley, 15-Los Angeles (Northeast), 16-Los Angeles (Southwest), 17-Inland Empire, 18-Orange County, 19-San Diego
- The average Northern California HHIs were computed by taking a straight average across the HHIs in rating areas 1-14 and the Southern California HHIs were straight average across the HHIs in rating areas 15-19. No procedure price data was available for rating area 14 and thus the hospital and physician average HHIs above do not include rating area 14.
- \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating area-level (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating area-level.
Table 12 repeats the analysis of Table 11 but with input cost adjusted prices. Results for individual procedures are available in Table A27 in the appendix. In Table 12, all procedure prices and premiums were input cost adjusted using the Medicare wage index.<sup>14</sup> Table 12 shows that while accounting for regional input cost differences generally shrinks the price difference between Northern and Southern California, the difference is still often considerable in magnitude. For instance, unadjusted inpatient procedure prices are 70% higher in Northern California (Table 11), while input cost adjusted inpatient procedure prices are 32% higher in Northern California than Southern California tha

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services currently defines "hospital geographic areas (labor markets areas) based on the definitions of Core-Based Statistical Areas (CBSAs) established by the Office of Management and Budget and announced in December 2003." We population-weighted CBSA-level Medicare wage indices to construct the rating area-level Medicare wage indices used in our analysis.

	Southern California	Northern California	% Difference
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$111,816	\$147,922	32%
Avg. Hospital HHI	1,047	2,202	110%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Primary Care Procedure Price	\$495	\$532	8%
Avg. Primary Care HHI***	996	1,420	43%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Cardiology Procedure Price	\$14,844	\$18,954	28%
Avg. Cardiology HHI	352	857	143%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Hematology/Oncology			
Procedure Price	\$10,042	\$12,071	20%
Avg. Hematology/Oncology HHI	823	2,257	174%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Orthopedist Procedure Price	\$333	\$324	-3%
Avg. Orthopedist HHI	263	851	224%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium	\$228	\$251	10%
Avg. Insurer HHI	1,919	2,700	41%

# Table 12. Input Cost Adjusted Northern California vs. Southern California Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016)

Notes:

Procedures included in each price average:

*Inpatient procedures (3)*: heart attack (acute myocardial infarction), partial hip replacement revision, premature baby (extremely low weight)

### **Outpatient procedures (15)**:

Primary Care (9) – cervical cancer screening converted, colon cancer screening – sigmoidoscopy, diagnostic blood fecal test, diverticular disease, fibroids, kidney (renal) failure, sore throat, upper respiratory infection/common cold (adult), urinary tract stone

*Cardiology (3) – cardiomyopathy (heart muscle disease), cardiovascular symptoms (other), coronary artery disease with heart bypass surgery* 

Hematology/Oncology (3) – breast cancer, lung, bronchi, or mediastinum cancer, prostate cancer Orthopedics (3) – ankle fracture/sprain, knee ligament injury, wrist or hand fracture/dislocation/sprain

- Covered California defines Northern California as rating areas 1-14 and Southern California as rating areas 15-19. Rating Areas (#-name): 1-Northern counties, 2-North Bay counties, 3-Greater Sacramento, 4-San Francisco, 5-Contra Costa, 6-Alameda, 7-Santa Clara, 8-San Mateo, 9-Central Coast, 10-Central Valley, 11-Central Valley, 12-Central Coast, 13-Eastern Region, 14-Central Valley, 15-Los Angeles (Northeast), 16-Los Angeles (Southwest), 17-Inland Empire, 18-Orange County, 19-San Diego
- The average Northern California HHIs were computed by taking a straight average across the HHIs in rating areas 1-14 and the Southern California HHIs were straight average across the HHIs in rating areas 15-19. No procedure price data was available for rating area 14 and thus the hospital and physician average HHIs above do not include rating area 14.
- \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating area-level (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating area-level.

• All procedure prices and premiums were input cost adjusted using the Medicare wage index. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services currently defines "hospital geographic areas (labor markets areas) based on the definitions of Core-Based Statistical Areas (CBSAs) established by the Office of Management and Budget and announced in December 2003." We population-weighted CBSA-level Medicare wage indices to construct the rating area-level Medicare wage indices used in our analysis.

### Limitations

Our analyses of the association between prices of hospital and physician services in California and the market power of hospitals and physicians does have limitations. The analyses are based on one year of price data. With more years of price data we would be able to relate the changes in market power to the changes in prices. Moreover, with additional data, we would be able to have more measures of prices, including the mean prices and the variation of prices within areas. Finally, we have not adjusted for possible quality differences between hospitals and physicians in different regions of California.

### Conclusion

It is clear that the market for health care and health insurance is now highly concentrated in California. The vast majority of counties in California warrant concern and scrutiny according to the DOJ/FTC Guidelines. This has likely reduced the level of competition, which has resulted in higher prices and ACA premiums in California. The significant variation in prices and ACA premiums across the state suggests regulatory and legislative solutions need to be implemented. Consumers are paying prices for health care that are considerably above what a more competitive market would produce.

### References

- Baker, Laurence C., M. Kate Bundorf, and Daniel P. Kessler. 2014. "Vertical integration: hospital ownership of physician practices is associated with higher prices and spending." *Health Affairs* 33 (5):756-763.
- Frech, H.E., III, Christopher Whaley, Benjamin R. Handel, Liora Bowers, Carol J. Simon, and Richard M. Scheffler. 2015. "Market Power, Transactions Costs, and the Entry of Accountable Care Organizations in Health Care." *Review of Industrial Organization* 47 (2):167-193.
- Fulton, Brent D. 2017. "Health Care Market Concentration Trends In The United States: Evidence And Policy Responses." *Health Affairs* 36 (9):1530-1538.
- Gaynor, Martin, Kate Ho, and Robert J. Town. 2015. "The Industrial Organization of Health-Care Markets." *Journal of Economic Literature* 53 (2):235-84.
- Goodman, David C., Stephen S. Mick, David Bott, Therese Stukel, Chiang-hua Chang, Nancy Marth, Jim Poage, and Henry J. Carretta. 2003. "Primary Care Service Areas: A New Tool for the Evaluation of Primary Care Services." *Health Services Research* 38 (1p1):287-309.
- Martin, Pamela, and Anne Neville. 2016. "The Corporate Practice of Medicine in a Changing Environment." Sacramento, CA: California Research Bureau. Available from: <u>http://www.onlinelaege.com/pdf/c101.pdf</u>.

- Melnick, Glenn A., and Katya Fonkych. 2016. "Hospital Prices Increase in California, Especially Among Hospitals in the Largest Multi-hospital Systems." *INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing* 53:0046958016651555.
- Scheffler, Richard. 2017. "When Markets Are Heavily Concentrated, Managed Competition Cannot Work: Lessons From California." *Health Affairs Blog*, December 5, 2017. Available from:

https://www.healthaffairs.org/action/showDoPubSecure?doi=10.1377%2Fhblog2017120 4.626710&format=full.

- Scheffler, Richard M., and Daniel R. Arnold. 2017. "Insurer Market Power Lowers Prices In Numerous Concentrated Provider Markets." *Health Affairs* 36 (9):1539-1546.
- Scheffler, Richard M., Daniel R. Arnold, Brent D. Fulton, and Sherry A. Glied. 2016. "Differing Impacts Of Market Concentration On Affordable Care Act Marketplace Premiums." *Health Affairs* 35 (5):880-888.
- Scheffler, Richard M., Eric Kessell, and Margareta Brandt. 2015. "Covered California: The Impact of Provider and Health Plan Market Power on Premiums." *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law* 40 (6):1179-1202.
- U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission. 2010. "Horizontal Merger Guidelines." Washington, DC: DOJ/FTC. August 19, 2010. Available from: <u>http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/atr/legacy/2010/08/19/hmg-2010.pdf</u>.

# Appendix

### Appendix Figures

### Figure A1. Covered California Rating Areas



Source: Covered California. 2014. "Health Insurance Companies and Plan Rates for 2015." <u>https://coveredca.com/PDFs/CC-health-plans-booklet-2015.pdf</u>



Figure A2. Partial Hip Replacement Revision Price and Hospital HHI Correlation

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure A3. Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight) Price and Hospital HHI Correlation



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.



Figure A4. Cervical Cancer Screening Converted Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure A5. Colon Cancer Screening Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.



Figure A6. Diagnostic Blood Fecal Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure A7. Diverticular Disease Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation



*Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.* 



Figure A8. Fibroids Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure A9. Kidney (Renal) Failure Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.



Figure A10. Sore Throat Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure A11. Urinary Tract Stone Price and Primary Care HHI Correlation



*Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.* 



Figure A12. Cardiovascular Symptoms (Other) Price and Cardiology HHI Correlation

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

*Figure A13. Coronary Artery Diseases with Heart Bypass Surgery Price and Cardiology HHI Correlation* 



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

*Figure A14. Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer Price and Hematology/Oncology HHI Correlation* 



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure A15. Prostate Cancer Price and Hematology/Oncology HHI Correlation



*Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.* 



Figure A16. Knee Ligament Injury Price and Orthopedics HHI Correlation

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Figure A17. Wrist or Hand Fracture/Dislocation/Sprain Price and Orthopedics HHI Correlation



Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

*Figure A18. Cervical Cancer Screening Converted Prices and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation* 



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A19. Colon Cancer Screening Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A20. Diagnostic Blood Fecal Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A21. Diverticular Disease Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A22. Fibroids Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A23. Kidney (Renal) Failure Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A24. Sore Throat Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A25. Urinary Tract Stone Price and the Percent of Primary Care Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *PC Own by Hosp = the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A26. Cardiovascular Symptoms (Other) Price and the Percent of Cardiologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *CAR Own by Hosp = the percent of cardiologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A27. Coronary Artery Diseases with Heart Bypass Surgery Price and the Percent of Cardiologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *CAR Own by Hosp = the percent of cardiologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A28. Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer Price and the Percent of Hematologists/Oncologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *HEM/ONC Own by Hosp* = *the percent of hematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A29. Prostate Cancer Price and the Percent of Hematologists/Oncologists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % *HEM/ONC Own by Hosp = the percent of hematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system* 

Figure A30. Ankle Fracture/Sprain Price and the Percent of Orthopedists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % ORS Own by Hosp = the percent of orthopedists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system

Figure A31. Wrist or Hand Fracture/Dislocation/Sprain Price and the Percent of Orthopedists Working for Foundations Owned by a Hospital or Health System Correlation



*Note:* % ORS Own by Hosp = the percent of orthopedists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system

# Appendix Tables

	Table A1: R	ating Area-Level	Hospital a	and Physician	HHIs, 2014
--	-------------	------------------	------------	---------------	------------

			Primary		Hematology/		
Rating		Hospital	Care	Cardiology	Oncology	Orthopedics	Radiology
Area #	Rating Area Name	HHI	HHI	HHI	HHI	HHI	HHI
1	Northern	1,171	1,781	367	1,063	319	663
2	North Bay	2,031	1,811	957	1,638	657	1,102
3	Sacramento Valley	2,459	1,748	1,161	2,188	955	2,097
4	San Francisco	2,233	1,576	1,237	4,331	544	2,820
5	Contra Costa	2,483	1,377	636	811	1,499	2,366
6	Alameda	2,319	1,384	590	1,529	889	1,067
7	Santa Clara	1,779	1,458	870	2,728	727	1,372
8	San Mateo	2,443	1,893	1,345	1,948	1,318	1,277
9	Monterey Coast	1,760	1,422	1,010	1,493	550	2,012
10	San Joaquin Valley	1,207	1,315	420	383	354	501
11	Central San Joaquin	3,160	925	758	480	373	1,693
12	Central Coast	1,731	990	429	743	262	442
13	Eastern	3,851	779	1,358	10,000	2,613	3,421
15	Los Angeles - East	656	908	259	1,093	224	266
16	Los Angeles - West	680	698	246	674	185	537
17	Inland Empire	669	982	316	568	354	693
18	Orange	1,308	513	263	481	240	567
19	San Diego	1,920	1,878	675	1,298	313	675
	AVERAGE	1,881	1,302	717	1,858	688	1,310

Note: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Rating			Benchmark Plan
Area #	Rating Area Name	Insurer HHI	Monthly Premium
1	Northern	3,403	\$367
2	North Bay	3,362	\$393
3	Sacramento Valley	2,615	\$386
4	San Francisco	1,906	\$388
5	Contra Costa	2,952	\$374
6	Alameda	2,842	\$384
7	Santa Clara	2,140	\$370
8	San Mateo	2,084	\$413
9	Monterey Coast	3,380	\$421
10	San Joaquin Valley	2,491	\$334
11	Central San Joaquin	2,518	\$316
12	Central Coast	2,673	\$358
13	Eastern	2,828	\$340
14	Central Valley	2,602	\$294
15	Los Angeles - East	2,042	\$245
16	Los Angeles - West	2,042	\$255
17	Inland Empire	2,185	\$261
18	Orange	1,785	\$298
19	San Diego	1,539	\$296
	AVERAGE	2,494	\$342

Table A2: Insurer HHIs and Covered California Benchmark Plan Monthly Premiums, 2016

Notes: HHI=Herfindahl-Hirschman Index. The premiums quoted here are the monthly premium an unsubsidized 40year-old would pay for the benchmark plan (second-lowest-cost silver plan) in a rating area. Insurer HHI is computed using the commercial enrollment of insurers. *Table A3. The association between inpatient procedure prices and hospital market concentration (HHI), 2014.* 

Unadjusted Prices			
	Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction)	Partial Hip Replacement Revision	Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight)
Hospital HHI	2.351* (0.0754)	4.716* (0.0710)	231.8** (0.0419)
Observations	17	18	10
Avg. Median Price	\$20,809	\$40,162	\$526,580
R-squared	0.196	0.189	0.423
Input cost adjusted Prices			
• •			Premature Baby
	Heart Attack (Acute	Partial Hip	(Extremely Low
	Myocardial Infarction)	<b>Replacement Revision</b>	Weight)

Hospital HHI	1.576 (0.194)	2.592* (0.0618)	112.7 (0.108)
Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median	17	18	10
Price	\$15,193	\$28,460	\$367,682
R-squared	0.110	0.201	0.290

*Table A4. The association between outpatient primary care procedure prices and primary care market concentration (HHI), 2014.* 

Unadjusted Prices					
	Cervical Cancer	Colon Cancer			
	Screening	Screening -	Diagnostic	Diverticular	Eibroide
	Converted	Sigmoldoscopy	BIOOU Fecal Test	Disease	FIDIOIUS
Primary Care HHI	0.0617***	0.124**	0.0167***	0.421**	0.738***
	(0.00807)	(0.0103)	(0.00882)	(0.0170)	(0.000374)
	(0.00007)	(0.0103)	(0.00882)	(0.0170)	(0.000374)
Observations	18	18	18	18	18
Avg. Median Price	\$96	\$246	\$19	\$1,118	\$1,104
R-squared	0.364	0.346	0.357	0.307	0.557
	Kidnov (Bonal)		Upper	Respiratory	
	Failure	Sore Thro	at Colo	1 (Adult)	Urinary Tract Stone
	T difuic	Sole mild		(riddit)	officiary fractistone
Primary Care HHI	0.490***	0.0615**	* 0.0	573***	1.518***
	(0.00125)	(0.00276	) (0.	00393)	(0.00326)
	, ,	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	, ,
Observations	18	18		18	18
Avg. Median Price	\$1,217	\$153	:	\$151	\$2,580
R-squared	0.489	0.438	(	).415	0.427
Input cost adjusted Prices					
	Cervical Cancer	Colon Cancer			
	Screening	Screening -	Diagnostic	Diverticular	
	Screening Converted	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	Diverticular Disease	Fibroids
	Screening Converted	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	Diverticular Disease	Fibroids
Primary Care HHI	Screening Converted 0.0347**	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578**	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096**	Diverticular Disease 0.146	Fibroids 0.406***
Primary Care HHI	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187)	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321)	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124)	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156)	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312)
Primary Care HHI	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187)	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321)	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124)	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156)	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312)
Primary Care HHI Observations	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg.	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18 \$776
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18 \$776 0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18 \$776 0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal)	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper Infectio	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18 \$776 0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Throa	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper Infectio at Colo	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common d (Adult)	Fibroids     0.406***     (0.00312)     18     \$776     0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Throa	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper Infectio at Colo	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common d (Adult)	Fibroids     0.406***     (0.00312)     18     \$776     0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared Primary Care HHI	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure 0.198**	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Throa 0.0238**	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper Infectio at Colo	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common 4 (Adult) 0208*	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18 \$776 0.430 Urinary Tract Stone 0.817***
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared Primary Care HHI	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure 0.198** (0.0269)	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Thro: 0.0238** (0.0464)	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper 1 Infectio at Colo 6 0. (0	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common d (Adult) 0208* .0708)	Fibroids 0.406*** (0.00312) 18 \$776 0.430 Urinary Tract Stone 0.817*** (0.00612)
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared Primary Care HHI	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure 0.198** (0.0269)	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Throa 0.0238** (0.0464)	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper   Infectio at Colo (0	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common d (Adult) 0208* .0708)	Fibroids   0.406***   (0.00312)   18   \$776   0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared Primary Care HHI Observations	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure 0.198** (0.0269) 18	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Throa 0.0238** (0.0464) 18	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper Infectio at Colo (0	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common d (Adult) 0208* .0708) 18	Fibroids   0.406***   (0.00312)   18   \$776   0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure 0.198** (0.0269) 18	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Thro: 0.0238** (0.0464) 18	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper Infectio at Colo (0	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common d (Adult) 0208* .0708) 18	Fibroids   0.406***   (0.00312)   18   \$776   0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg.	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure 0.198** (0.0269) 18	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Thro: 0.0238** (0.0464) 18	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper 1 Infectio at Colo (0	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common 1 (Adult) 0208* .0708) 18	Fibroids   0.406***   (0.00312)   18   \$776   0.430
Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price R-squared Primary Care HHI Observations Input cost adjusted Avg. Median Price	Screening Converted 0.0347** (0.0187) 18 \$67 0.300 Kidney (Renal) Failure 0.198** (0.0269) 18 \$860	Screening - Sigmoidoscopy 0.0578** (0.0321) 18 \$172 0.256 Sore Thro: 0.0238** (0.0464) 18 \$109	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test 0.0096** (0.0124) 18 \$12 0.332 Upper I Infectio at Colo (0	Diverticular Disease 0.146 (0.156) 18 \$791 0.121 Respiratory n/ Common 1 (Adult) 0208* .0708) 18 \$107	Fibroids   0.406***   (0.00312)   18   \$776   0.430   Urinary Tract Stone   0.817***   (0.00612)   18   \$1,801

*Table A5. The association between outpatient cardiology procedure prices and cardiology market concentration (HHI), 2014.* 

Unadjusted Prices			
	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery
Cardiology HHI	0.960***	0.107*	38.74**
	(0.00329)	(0.0648)	(0.0224)
Observations	17	18	17
Avg. Median Price	\$1,867	\$551	\$74,476
R-squared	0.448	0.197	0.302
Input cost adjusted P	Prices		
	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery
Cardiology HHI	0.327	0.0134	15.74*
	(0.150)	(0.735)	(0.0537)
Observations	17	18	17
Input cost adjusted	64.224	¢20.4	
Avg. Median Price	\$1,324	\$394	\$51,517
R-squared	0.133	0.007	0.226

Table A6. The association between outpatient hematology/oncology procedure prices and hematology/oncology market concentration (HHI), 2014.

		Lung, Bronchi, or	
	Mediastinum		
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer
Hematology/Oncology HHI	0.201**	7.147**	0.352***
	(0.0199)	(0.0452)	(0.00399)
Observations	18	13	17
Avg. Median Price	\$4,686	\$38,299	\$4,957
R-squared	0.295	0.317	0.435

## **Unadjusted Prices**

### Input cost adjusted Prices

		Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum	
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer
Hematology/Oncology HHI	0.148*** (0.00243)	3.245* (0.0880)	0.283*** (0.00314)
Observations Input cost adjusted Avg.	18	13	17
Median Price	\$3,340	\$26,912	\$3,584
R-squared	0.447	0.242	0.451

*Table A7. The association between outpatient orthopedics procedure prices and orthopedics market concentration (HHI), 2014.* 

Unadjusted Prices			
			Wrist or Hand
	Ankle Fracture/		Fracture/
	Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Dislocation/ Sprain
Orthopedics HHI	0.150***	0.0488***	0.152***
	(0.000440)	(0.00343)	(6.85e-05)
Observations	18	18	18
Avg. Median Price	\$537	\$279	\$549
R-squared	0.548	0.424	0.639
Input cost adjusted Prices			
			Wrist or Hand
	Ankle Fracture/		Fracture/
	Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Dislocation/ Sprain
Orthopedics HHI	0.0993***	0.0274*	0.0989***
	(0.00918)	(0.0938)	(0.000371)
Observations	18	18	18
Input cost adjusted			
Avg. Median Price	\$386	\$201	\$392
R-squared	0.354	0.166	0.558

*Table A8. The association between ACA premiums and insurer market concentration (HHI), 2016.* 

Unadjusted Monthly Premiums	
	Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium
Insurer HHI	0.0526***
	(0.007)
Observations	19
Avg. Monthly Premium	\$342
R-squared	0.283
Input cost adjusted Monthly Premiums	
	Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium
	0.0210*
Insurer HHI	0.0218*
	(0.063)
Observations	19
Input cost adjusted Avg. Monthly	
Premium	\$245
R-squared	0.094

# Table A9. The association between inpatient procedure prices and hospital market concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)

	Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction)	Partial Hip Replacement Revision	Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight)
	2 275*	0.000***	
Hospital HHI	2.375*	9.302***	195./**
	(0.0696)	(0.0003)	(0.0295)
Observations	17	18	10
Weighted Avg. Median Price	\$19,716	\$37,099	\$459,341
R-squared	0.203	0.558	0.467

# Unadiversed Dui

Input cost adjusted Prices						
	Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction)	Partial Hip Replacement Revision	Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight)			
Hospital HHI	0.741 (0.537)	4.459*** (0.0050)	88.44 (0.110)			
Observations Input cost adjusted Weighted Avg.	17	18	10			
Median Price	\$15,114	\$27,795	\$340,143			
R-squared	0.026	0.398	0.288			

*Table A10. The association between outpatient primary care procedure prices and primary care market concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)* 

Unadjusted Prices					
	Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	Colon Cancer Screening - Sigmoidoscopy	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	Diverticular Disease	Fibroids
Primary Care HHI	0.0632***	0.0946**	0.0117**	0.278**	0.794***
	(0.00152)	(0.0243)	(0.0194)	(0.0394)	(5.57e-05)
Observations Weighted Avg. Median	18	18	18	18	18
Price	\$90	\$229	\$15	\$1,032	\$955
R-squared	0.477	0.279	0.297	0.239	0.648
	Kidney (Renal) Failure	Sore Throat	Upper Res Infection/ Co (Adu	spiratory mmon Cold ılt)	Urinary Tract Stone
Primary Care HHI	0.470***	0.0453***	0.041	.5**	1.529***
	(0.000688)	(0.00585)	(0.01	.04)	(0.000148)
Observations	18	18	18	3	18
Median Price	\$1,132	\$147	\$14	16	\$2,372
R-squared	0.524	0.387	0.345		0.604
Input cost adjusted Pri	ces				
	Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	Colon Cancer Screening - Sigmoidoscopy	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	Diverticular Disease	Fibroids
Primary Care HHI	0.0388***	0.0418*	0.00657**	0.0701	0.486***
	(0.00446)	(0.0724)	(0.0268)	(0.352)	(9.27e-05)
Observations	18	18	18	18	18
Input cost adjusted					
Price	\$67	\$169	\$11	\$775	\$704
R-squared	0.406	0.188	0.271	0.054	0.626
·					
			Upper	Respiratory	
	Kidney (Renal) Failur	re Sore Throa	at Col	d (Adult)	Urinary Tract Stone
Primary Care HHI	0.217**	0.0157	C	).0127	0.899***
	(0.0107)	(0.102)	(	0.175)	(0.000696)
Observations	18	18		18	18
Input cost adjusted					
Price	\$848	\$110		\$110	\$1,764
R-squared	0.342	0.158		0.112	0.523

*Table A11. The association between outpatient cardiology procedure prices and cardiology market concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)* 

Unadjusted Prices				
	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease	
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery	
Cardiology HHI	0.706***	0.156**	39.82**	
	(0.00551)	(0.0105)	(0.0114)	
Observations	17	18	17	
Weighted Avg.				
Median Price	\$1,774	\$533	\$63,191	
R-squared	0.411	0.344	0.356	
Input cost adjusted F	Prices			
	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease	
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery	
Cardiology HHI	0.135	0.0155	15.97**	
	(0.494)	(0.747)	(0.0335)	
Observations	17	18	17	
Input cost adjusted				
Weighted Avg.				
Median Price	\$1,345	\$403	\$46,652	
R-squared	0.032	0.007	0.267	

*Table A12. The association between outpatient hematology/oncology procedure prices and hematology/oncology market concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)* 

Unadjusted Prices					
	Lung, Bronchi, or				
	Mediastinum				
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer		
Hematology/Oncology HHI	0.373**	10.22**	0.350		
	(0.0163)	(0.0172)	(0.187)		
Observations	18	13	17		
Weighted Avg. Median Price	\$4,461	\$32,759	\$4,594		
R-squared	0.310	0.417	0.113		

# Unadjusted Prices

#### Input cost adjusted Prices

	Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum			
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer	
Hematology/Oncology HHI	0.0740	4.751*	0.0409	
	(0.428)	(0.0544)	(0.853)	
Observations Input cost adjusted Weighted	18	13	17	
Avg. Median Price	\$3,369	\$24,449	\$3,504	
R-squared	0.040	0.296	0.002	

Table A13. The association between outpatient orthopedics procedure prices and orthopedics market concentration (HHI), 2014. (weighted by rating area population)

Unadjusted Prices			
	Ankle Fracture/ Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Wrist or Hand Fracture/ Dislocation/ Sprain
Orthopedics HHI	0.0849	0.0287	0.159***
	(0.103)	(0.258)	(0.00186)
Observations Weighted Avg.	18	18	18
Median Price	\$500	\$273	\$496
R-squared	0.157	0.079	0.464
Input cost adjusted Prices			
			Wrist or Hand
	Ankle Fracture/		Fracture/
	Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Dislocation/ Sprain
Orthopedics HHI	-0.0219	-0.0263	0.0299
	(0.620)	(0.254)	(0.288)
Observations Input cost adjusted Weighted Avg.	18	18	18
Median Price	\$380	\$208	\$373
R-squared	0.016	0.080	0.070

*Table A14. The association between ACA premiums and insurer market concentration (HHI), 2016. (weighted by rating area population)* 

Unadjusted Monthly Premiums	
	Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium
Insurer HHI	0.0715***
	(0.001)
Observations	19
Weighted Avg. Monthly Premium	\$313
R-squared	0.394
Input cost adjusted Monthly Premiums	
	Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium
Insurer HHI	0.0151
	(0.171)
Observations	19
Input cost adjusted Weighted Avg.	
Monthly Premium	\$236
R-squared	0.109

				%	
			% CAR	HEM/ONC	% ORS
Rating		% PC Own	Own by	Own by	Own by
Area #	Rating Area Name	by Hosp	Hosp	Hosp	Hosp
1	Northern	32	34	52	38
2	North Bay	44	59	47	39
3	Sacramento Valley	64	51	84	57
4	San Francisco	49	58	77	32
5	Contra Costa	51	17	45	28
6	Alameda	40	22	39	30
7	Santa Clara	54	51	86	42
8	San Mateo	54	35	40	56
9	Monterey Coast	24	31	13	16
10	San Joaquin Valley	27	32	33	23
11	Central San Joaquin	32	17	26	17
12	Central Coast	18	4	16	7
13	Eastern	42	22	100	33
15	Los Angeles - East	21	14	43	13
16	Los Angeles - West	28	34	52	31
17	Inland Empire	25	25	39	27
18	Orange	22	15	35	17
19	San Diego	46	47	64	33
	Avg. across rating areas	37	32	49	30

Table A15: Rating Area-Level Percent of Physicians Working for Foundations Owned by Hospitals or Health Systems, 2014

*Note:* PC = primary care physician, CAR = cardiologists, HEM/ONC = hematologists/oncologists, ORS = orthopedists

Table A16. The association between primary care procedure prices and the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014.

UNADJUSTED PRICES	5				
	Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	Colon Cancer Screening - Sigmoidoscopy	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	Diverticular Disease	Fibroids
% PC Own by Hosp	1.711**	4.944***	0.542***	12.77**	18.57***
	(0.0252)	(0.000474)	(0.00729)	(0.0244)	(0.00999)
Observations	18	18	18	18	18
Avg. Median Price	\$96	\$246	\$19	\$1,118	\$1,104
R-squared	0.276	0.544	0.371	0.279	0.348
	Kidney (Renal) Failure	Sore Thro	Upper R Infectior at Cold	Respiratory n/ Common (Adult)	Urinary Tract Stone
% PC Own by Hosp	20.08***	2.183***	* 2.1	78***	31.06*
	(3.98e-07)	(0.000470	0.0)	00195)	(0.0825)
Observations	18	18		18	18
Avg. Median Price	\$1,217	\$153	Ş	5151	\$2,580
R-squared	0.808	0.545	0	.591	0.177
INPUT COST ADJUST	ED PRICES				
	Cervical Cancer	Colon Cancer			
	Screening	Screening -	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	Diverticular	Fibroids
	converted	Signoluoscopy	bioourrecarrest	Disease	11010103
% PC Own by Hosp	0.929*	2.580***	0.318***	5.127	10.40**
,,,,	(0.0544)	(0.000975)	(0.00853)	(0.117)	(0.0245)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,	· · ·	
Observations	18	18	18	18	18
Input cost					
adjusted Avg. Median Price	\$67	\$172	\$12	\$791	\$776
R-squared	0.212	0.503	0.360	0.147	0.278
	01212	0.000	0.000	011.7	01270
	Kidney (Dere 1)		Upper R	Respiratory	
	Failure	Sore Thro	at Cold	(Adult)	Urinary Tract Stone
				(	
% PC Own by Hosp	10.22***	0.989***	* 0.9	88***	15.75
	(9.87e-06)	(0.00598	) (0.0	00355)	(0.125)
	. ,		,	-	. ,
Observations	18	18		18	18
Input cost					
adjusted Avg. Median Price	\$860	\$109	s	107	\$1.801
R-squared	0.715	0.385	0	.421	0.141
	×				

Notes: % PC Own by Hosp = % of primary care physicians who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u>. p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1
Table A17. The association between cardiology procedure prices and the percent of cardiologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014.

UNADJUSTED PRICES			
	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery
% CAR Own by Hosp	13.84*	2.599*	161.6
	(0.0776)	(0.0596)	(0.690)
Observations	17	18	17
Avg. Median Price	\$1.867	\$551	\$74.476
R-squared	0.193	0.204	0.011
<b>I</b>			
INPUT COST ADJUSTE	D PRICES		
	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery
% CAR Own by Hosp	5.537	0.743	-12.11
	(0.275)	(0.430)	(0.949)
Observations	17	18	17
Input cost adjusted	<i>.</i>	420.4	454 545
Avg. Median Price	\$1,324	\$394	\$51,517
R-squared	0.079	0.039	0.000

Notes: % CAR Own by Hosp = % of cardiologists who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u>. p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1

Table A18. The association between hematology/oncology procedure prices and the percent of hematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014.

	Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum		
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer
% HEM/ONC Own by Hosp	21.32*** (0.00633)	345.9* (0.0732)	20.69* (0.0981)
Observations	18	13	17
Avg. Median Price	\$4,686	\$38,299	\$4,957
R-squared	0.381	0.263	0.172

### UNADJUSTED PRICES

## INPUT COST ADJUSTED PRICES

	Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum			
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer	
% HEM/ONC Own by Hosp	15.31*** (0.000419)	179.9* (0.0731)	16.85* (0.0869)	
Observations	18	13	17	
Input cost adjusted Avg.	62.240	¢26 012	60 F04	
	\$5,340	ŞZ0,912	Ş3,584	
R-squared	0.551	0.263	0.183	

Notes: % HEM/ONC Own by Hosp = % of hematologists/oncologists who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u> . p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1

Table A19. The association between orthopedics procedure prices and the percent of orthopedists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014.

UNADJUSTED PRICES			
	Ankle Fracture/ Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Wrist or Hand Fracture/ Dislocation/ Sprain
% ORS Own by Hosp	2.862	1.980**	3.407
	(0.207)	(0.0113)	(0.104)
Observations	18	18	18
Avg. Median Price	\$537	\$279	\$549
R-squared	0.097	0.339	0.156

### UNADJUSTED PRICES

#### INPUT COST ADJUSTED PRICES

	Ankle Fracture/ Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Wrist or Hand Fracture/ Dislocation/ Sprain
% ORS Own by Hosp	0.817	0.671	1.158
	(0.670)	(0.381)	(0.444)
Observations Input cost adjusted	18	18	18
Avg. Median Price	\$386	\$201	\$392
R-squared	0.012	0.048	0.037

Notes: % ORS Own by Hosp = % of orthopedists who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u>. p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1

Table A20. The association between primary care procedure prices and the percent of primary care physicians in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014 (weighted by rating area population)

UNADJUSTED PRICES					
	Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	Colon Cancer Screening - Sigmoidoscopy	Diagnostic Blo y Fecal Test	od Diverticular Disease	Fibroids
% PC Own by Hosp	2.005***	4.878***	0.413**	11.95***	22.64***
	(0.00389)	(6.45e-05)	(0.0143)	(0.00617)	(0.00207)
Observations	18	18	18	18	18
Weighted Avg. Median Price R-squared	\$90 0.415	\$229 0.642	\$15 0.320	\$1,032 0.383	\$955 0.457
·					
	Kidney (Renal) Fa	ilure Sore	U Int Throat	pper Respiratory fection/ Common Cold (Adult)	Urinary Tract Stone
% PC Own by Hosp	20.70***	2.0	31***	2.020***	47.86***
	(1.00e-08)	(2.8	9e-05)	(1.16e-05)	(0.000835)
Observations	18		18	18	18
Weighted Avg. Median Price	\$1,132 0.878	\$	147 675	\$146 0 709	\$2,372 0 512
	FS			0.705	0.512
	Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	Colon Cancer Screening - Sigmoidoscopy	Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	Diverticular Disease	Fibroids
% PC Own by Hosp	1.126**	2.500***	0.228**	4.212*	13.20***
	(0.0194)	(0.000255)	(0.0231)	(0.0052)	(0.00400)
Observations	18	18	18	18	18
Input cost adjusted					
Price	\$67	\$169	\$11	\$775	\$704
R-squared	0.297	0.582	0.283	0.170	0.400
			Up	per Respiratory	
	Kidney (Renal) Failu	re Sore T	Infe hroat	ection/ Common Cold (Adult)	Urinary Tract Stone
% PC Own by Hosp	10.36***	0.840	)***	0.569**	26.43***
	(3.04e-05)	(0.00	539)	(0.0176)	(0.00546)
Observations Input cost adjusted Weighted Avg. Median	18	13	8	18	18
Price	\$848	\$1	10	\$110	\$1,764
R-squared	0.673	0.3	93	0.304	0.392

Notes: % PC Own by Hosp = % of primary care physicians who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u>. Regressions are weighted by rating area population. p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1

Table A21. The association between cardiology procedure prices and the percent of cardiologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014 (weighted by rating area population)

UNADJUJILD FRICLJ			
	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery
% CAR Own by Hosp	11.08*	3.825***	216.4
	(0.0625)	(0.00375)	(0.569)
Observations	17	18	17
Weighted Avg.			
Median Price	\$1,774	\$533	\$63,191
R-squared	0.213	0.418	0.022

### UNADJUSTED PRICES

#### **INPUT COST ADJUSTED PRICES**

	Cardiomyopathy	Cardiovascular	Coronary Artery Disease
	(Heart Muscle Disease)	Symptoms (Other)	with Heart Bypass Surgery
% CAR Own by Hosp	3.698	1.716*	20.78
	(0.388)	(0.0930)	(0.906)
Observations	17	18	17
Input cost adjusted			
Weighted Avg.			
Median Price	\$1,345	\$403	\$46,652
R-squared	0.050	0.166	0.001

Notes: % CAR Own by Hosp = % of cardiologists who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u>. Regressions are weighted by rating area population. p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1

Table A22. The association between hematology/oncology procedure prices and the percent of hematologists/oncologists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014 (weighted by rating area population)

UNADJOSTED TRICES				
	Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum			
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer	
% HEM/ONC Own by Hosp	21.69** (0.0116)	367.6* (0.0771)	4.928 (0.722)	
Observations Weighted Avg. Median Price R-squared	18 \$4,461 0.337	13 \$32,759 0.257	17 \$4,594 0.009	

### UNADJUSTED PRICES

### INPUT COST ADJUSTED PRICES

		Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum	
	Breast Cancer	Cancer	Prostate Cancer
% HEM/ONC Own by Hosp	10.29**	192.9*	-2.520
	(0.0360)	(0.0949)	(0.822)
Observations Input cost adjusted Weighted	18	13	17
Avg. Median Price	\$3,369	\$24,449	\$3,504
R-squared	0.247	0.233	0.003

Notes: % HEM/ONC Own by Hosp = % of hematologists/oncologists who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u>. Regressions are weighted by rating area population. p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1

Table A23. The association between orthopedics procedure prices and the percent of orthopedists in a rating area who work for foundations owned by a hospital or health system, 2014 (weighted by rating area population)

UNADJUJIED PRICEJ			
	Ankle Fracture/ Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Wrist or Hand Fracture/ Dislocation/ Sprain
% ORS Own by Hosp	2.439	1.712**	3.178*
	(0.113)	(0.0135)	(0.0535)
Observations Weighted Avg.	18	18	18
Median Price	\$500	\$273	\$496
R-squared	0.149	0.325	0.214

### **UNADJUSTED PRICES**

INPUT COST ADJUSTED PRICES				
	Ankle Fracture/ Sprain	Knee Ligament Injury	Wrist or Hand Fracture/ Dislocation/ Sprain	
	•	<u> </u>	· ·	
% ORS Own by Hosp	0.308	0.373	0.777	
	(0.814)	(0.590)	(0.350)	
Observations Input cost adjusted Weighted Avg	18	18	18	
Median Price	\$380	\$208	\$373	
R-squared	0.004	0.019	0.055	

Notes: % ORS Own by Hosp = % of orthopedists who work for a foundation owned by a hospital or health system. Input cost adjusted prices were computed by deflating unadjusted prices by the Medicare Wage Index <u>https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/wageindex.html</u>. Regressions are weighted by rating area population. p-values in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.01, \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1

	HHI < 1,500	HHI ≥ 1,500	% Difference
Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$139,909	\$250,203	79%
# of rating areas (Hospital HHI)	6	12	
Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction)	\$19,210	\$21,681	13%
Partial Hip Replacement Revision	\$32,086	44,200	38%
Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight)	\$368,431	\$684,728	86%
Avg. Outpatient Primary Care Procedure Price	\$665	\$898	35%
# of rating areas (Primary Care HHI***)	12	6	
Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	\$88	\$110	25%
Colon Cancer Screening – Sigmoidoscopy	\$224	\$290	29%
Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	\$15	\$27	80%
Diverticular Disease	\$967	\$1,419	47%
Fibroids	\$918	\$1,475	61%
Kidney (Renal) Failure	\$1,106	\$1,438	30%
Sore Throat	\$139	\$183	32%
Upper Respiratory Infection/Common Cold (Adult)	\$137	\$180	31%
Urinary Tract Stone	\$2,388	\$2,964	24%
Avg. Outpatient Hematology/Oncology Procedure Price	\$13,762	\$20,819	51%
# of rating areas (Hematology/Oncology HHI)	11	7	
Breast Cancer	\$4,255	\$5,362	26%
Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer	\$32,466	\$51,421	58%
Prostate Cancer	\$4,564	\$5,675	24%
Avg. Outpatient Orthopedist Procedure Price	\$439	\$715	63%
# of rating areas (Orthopedics HHI)	17	1	
Ankle Fracture/Sprain	\$515	\$911	77%
Knee Ligament Injury	\$272	\$387	42%
Wrist or Hand Fracture / Dislocation / Sprain	\$531	\$849	60%
	HHI < 2,500	HHI ≥ 2,500	% Difference
Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium	\$318	\$363	14%
# of rating areas	9	10	

Table A24. Individual Procedure Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016) by HHI Level

Notes: The average reported above is a straight average across the procedures within each category. Cardiology prices are not reported as no rating areas had a cardiology HHI below 1,500 (see Table A1 in the appendix). The premiums listed in Table A2 were used for the analysis of Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premiums. \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating area-level (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating area-level.

	HHI < 1,500	HHI ≥ 1,500	% Difference
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$108,483	\$165,119	52%
# of rating areas (Hospital HHI)	6	12	
Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction)	\$14,933	\$15,334	3%
Partial Hip Replacement Revision	\$24,974	\$30,202	21%
Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight)	\$285,543	\$449,820	58%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Primary Care Procedure Price	\$472	\$622	32%
# of rating areas (Primary Care HHI***)	12	6	
Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	\$61	\$78	28%
Colon Cancer Screening – Sigmoidoscopy	\$159	\$198	25%
Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	\$10	\$18	80%
Diverticular Disease	\$704	\$965	37%
Fibroids	\$656	\$1,017	55%
Kidney (Renal) Failure	\$794	\$993	25%
Sore Throat	\$100	\$126	26%
Upper Respiratory Infection/Common Cold (Adult)	<i>\$99</i>	\$124	25%
Urinary Tract Stone	\$1,662	\$2,078	25%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Hematology/Oncology Procedure Price	\$10,370	\$13,269	28%
# of rating areas (Hematology/Oncology HHI)	11	7	
Breast Cancer	\$3,198	\$3,562	11%
Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer	\$24,470	\$32,404	32%
Prostate Cancer	\$3,442	\$3,842	12%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Orthopedist Procedure Price	\$311	\$577	85%
# of rating areas (Orthopedics HHI)	17	1	
Ankle Fracture/Sprain	\$365	\$735	101%
Knee Ligament Injury	\$194	\$312	61%
Wrist or Hand Fracture / Dislocation / Sprain	\$375	\$685	83%
	HHI < 2,500	HHI ≥ 2,500	% Difference
Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium	\$233	\$256	10%
# of rating areas	9	10	

*Table A25. Input Cost Adjusted Individual Procedure Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016) by HHI Level* 

Notes: The average reported above is a straight average across the procedures within each category. Cardiology prices are not reported as no rating areas had a cardiology HHI below 1,500 (see Table A1 in the appendix). \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating area-level (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating area-level.

Table A26. Northern California vs. Southern California Individual Procedure Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016)

	South	North	% Difference
Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$131,586	\$223,278	70%
Avg. Hospital HHI	1,047	2,202	110%
Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction)	\$19,371	\$21,408	11%
Partial Hip Replacement Revision	\$32,741	\$43,017	31%
Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight)	\$342,646	\$605,408	77%
Avg. Outpatient Primary Care Procedure Price	\$588	\$802	36%
Avg. Primary Care HHI***	996	1,420	43%
Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	\$83	\$100	20%
Colon Cancer Screening – Sigmoidoscopy	\$187	\$268	43%
Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	\$ <i>9</i>	\$22	144%
Diverticular Disease	\$897	\$1,203	34%
Fibroids	\$700	\$1,259	80%
Kidney (Renal) Failure	\$1,020	\$1,292	27%
Sore Throat	\$136	\$160	18%
Upper Respiratory Infection/Common Cold (Adult)	\$134	\$158	18%
Urinary Tract Stone	\$2,125	\$ <i>2,7</i> 55	30%
Avg. Outpatient Cardiology Procedure Price	\$17,653	\$28,955	64%
Avg. Cardiology HHI	352	857	143%
Cardiomyopathy (Heart Muscle Disease)	\$1,735	\$1,922	11%
Cardiovascular Symptoms (Other)	\$503	\$570	13%
Coronary Artery Disease with Heart Bypass Surgery	\$50,720	\$84,374	66%
Avg. Outpatient Hematology/Oncology Procedure Price	\$11,905	\$18,445	55%
Avg. Hematology/Oncology HHI	823	2,257	174%
Breast Cancer	\$4,185	\$4,878	17%
Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer	\$27,187	\$45,243	66%
Prostate Cancer	\$4,343	\$5,213	20%
Avg. Outpatient Orthopedist Procedure Price	\$396	\$477	20%
Avg. Orthopedist HHI	263	851	224%
Ankle Fracture/Sprain	\$474	\$561	18%
Knee Ligament Injury	\$270	\$282	4%
Wrist or Hand Fracture / Dislocation / Sprain	\$445	\$589	32%
Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium	\$271	\$367	35%
Avg. Insurer HHI	1,919	2,700	41%

Notes: The average reported above is a straight average across the procedures within each category. Cardiology prices are not reported as no rating areas had a cardiology HHI below 1,500 (see Table A1 in the appendix). The premiums listed in Table A2 were used for the analysis of Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premiums. \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating area-level (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating area-level.

	South	North	% Difference
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Inpatient Procedure Price	\$111,816	\$147,922	32%
Avg. Hospital HHI	1,047	2,202	110%
Heart Attack (Acute Myocardial Infarction)	\$16,315	\$14,725	-10%
Partial Hip Replacement Revision	\$27,517	\$28,822	5%
Premature Baby (Extremely Low Weight)	\$291,615	\$400,218	37%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Primary Care Procedure Price	\$495	\$532	8%
Avg. Primary Care HHI***	996	1,420	43%
Cervical Cancer Screening Converted	\$70	\$66	-6%
Colon Cancer Screening – Sigmoidoscopy	\$157	\$178	13%
Diagnostic Blood Fecal Test	\$8	\$15	88%
Diverticular Disease	\$754	\$806	7%
Fibroids	\$590	\$848	44%
Kidney (Renal) Failure	\$858	\$861	0%
Sore Throat	\$114	\$106	-7%
Upper Respiratory Infection/Common Cold (Adult)	\$113	\$105	-7%
Urinary Tract Stone	\$1,790	\$1,805	1%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Cardiology Procedure Price	\$14,844	\$18,954	28%
Avg. Cardiology HHI	352	857	143%
Cardiomyopathy (Heart Muscle Disease)	\$1,460	\$1,267	-13%
Cardiovascular Symptoms (Other)	\$423	\$383	-9%
Coronary Artery Disease with Heart Bypass Surgery	\$42,648	\$55,212	29%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Hematology/Oncology Procedure Price	\$10,042	\$12,071	20%
Ava. Hematology/Oncology HHI	. , 823	2,257	174%
Breast Cancer	\$3,521	\$3,270	-7%
Lung, Bronchi, or Mediastinum Cancer	\$22,934	\$29,397	28%
Prostate Cancer	\$3,670	\$3,547	-3%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. Outpatient Orthopedics Procedure Price	\$333	\$324	-3%
Ava. Orthopedist HHI	263	851	224%
Ankle Fracture/Sprain	\$399	\$381	-5%
Knee Ligament Injury	\$227	\$191	-16%
Wrist or Hand Fracture / Dislocation / Sprain	\$374	\$399	7%
Input Cost Adjusted Avg. ACA Benchmark Plan Monthly Premium	\$228	\$251	10%
Avg. Insurer HHI	1,919	2,700	41%

Table A27. Northern California vs. Southern California Input Cost Adjusted Individual Procedure Prices (2014) and ACA Premiums (2016)

Notes: The average reported above is a straight average across the procedures within each category. \*\*\* Primary Care HHI was calculated at the primary care service area (PCSA)-level and then weighted up to the rating arealevel (see Goodman et al. (2003) for details on PCSAs). All other HHIs were calculated directly at the rating arealevel. Surgery Networks

Bridge Health, Surgery Plus, eSurgery, Carrum Health

### High Performance Networks/COE Imagine Health, Grandrounds, Progyny (infertility)

### **Transparency Tools/Provider Guidance**

Castlight, ClearCost Health, Healthcare Bluebook, Compass, Change Healthcare, Amino, Zest, Grand Rounds, TopDoc Connect

Concierge Physician/Mobile Physicians

Dispatch Health, One Medical, Pager, Forward

**Telemedicine** American Well, MD Live, Teledoc, FirstDerm, Grand Rounds, Simple Therapy

**Reference-Based Pricing** AMP, ELAP, HS Technology, 6 Degrees

**Digital Navigation** Castlight, eVive, Mobile Health, Welltok, b.well

Advocacy/Concierge Services Accolade, Alight, Compass, Health Advocate, Quantum, HealthJoy

> Information Aggregators Medlio, Capsihealth, Orderly

> > **Provider Quality and Cost** Amino, Mpirica, Quantros, CedarGate

Personalized Data Aggregation and Insights Health Gorilla, Human API, Apple Predictive analytics

Medalogix, Health Reveal

# Vendor Landscape

**Data Warehouse** —/ Artemis, Truven, Nuna, Optum Insights

Engagement

Providers

Data

Expert Opinion Best Doctors, Grand Rounds, 2<sup>nd</sup> MD

> Virtual Assistants Sensely

Care

Administration

Wellbeing

Immersive Condition Management Hello Heart, Hinge Health, Livongo, Ovia, Physera, PureSomni, Sleepio, Virta Vivante Health, WellDoc

Behavioral/Emotional support Big Health, Lantern, Lyra, LifeDojo

> Diagnostic/Personalized Medicine 23AndMe, Color, Counsyl, Gene by Gene, Helix

Women's Health Progeny, Maven, Ovia

Immersive Health Risk Interventions Retrofit, Omada, Solera

Wellbeing Engagement Platforms Limeade, Optimity, Rally, Redbrick, ShareCare, Vitality, Virgin Pulse, Welltok

Individual Health Insurance Marketplace Health Sherpa, HIXME, Stride Health

Benefits Administration Alight, Maxwell Health

New Health Plan Models Bind, Bright Health, Collective Health, Imagine Health, Oscar

Plan Selection Decision Support JellyVision, PicWell

Content provided by Aon 04/06/2018

### **Rates and Benefits Calendar for Plan Year 2019**

Meeting Date	Topics to be Addressed or Outcomes to be Achieved
February 8, 2018 1:00 pm Room 416, City Hall	<ul> <li>Black out Notice – Rates and Benefits</li> <li>City Plan <ul> <li>Review of claims experience</li> <li>Review of Stabilization Reserve</li> </ul> </li> <li>Copay benchmarking</li> </ul>
March 8, 2018 1:00 pm Room 416, City Hall	<ul> <li>Presentation of 10-County amount</li> <li>Stop loss recommendation for self-funded plans</li> <li>Blue Shield Flex-Funded Non-Medicare review of claims experience, benefit design</li> <li>Blue Shield Claims Stabilization Reserve</li> <li>City Plan: Administrative fees</li> </ul>
April 12, 2018 1:00 pm Room 416, City Hall	<ul> <li>Risk scores</li> <li>UHC 2019 City Plan Admin. fees</li> <li>Vision renewal</li> <li>Best Doctors renewal</li> <li>Long-term sustainability of City Plan – early retirees</li> </ul>
May 10, 2018 1:00 pm Room 416, City Hall	<ul> <li>Kaiser Permanente Non-Medicare: review of claims experience, approve premium contributions for 2019</li> <li>Blue Shield Flex-Funded Non-Medicare rates and premium contributions for 2019</li> <li>Delta stabilization reserve</li> <li>Dental renewal</li> <li>Aetna renewal – life and disability</li> <li>Long-term sustainability of City Plan – actives</li> </ul>
May 31, 2018 Special Meeting 1:00 pm Room 416, City Hall	<ul> <li>Health Value Initiative ("HVI")</li> <li>UHC City Plan Non-Medicare rates and contributions for 2019</li> <li>Long-term sustainability of City Plan</li> </ul>

June 14, 2018 1:00 pm Room 416, City Hall	• UHC Medicare Advantage (fully-insured) rates and premium contributions for 2019
	• Kaiser Permanente Medicare Advantage (fully-insured rates and premium contributions for 2019
	• Kaiser multi-region (fully-insured) plan rates and premium contributions

### END OF RATES AND BENEFITS PROCESS

### **Board of Supervisors ("BOS") Schedule for 2019 Rates and Benefits**

- June 19, 2018 2019 Rates package introduced by Supervisor Sheehy to BOS and assigned to Budget and Finance Committee
- July 12, 2018 BOS Budget and Finance Committee review of rates package
- July 17, 2018 First reading by full BOS
- July 24, 2018 Second reading by full BOS